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Assignment 11
TIME SERIES REGRESSION
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I would like you to try modeling time series data. The purpose is to build, test, and modify a simple (no doubt unrealistic) intervention model. There may be a fair amount of bookkeeping, as suggested in class, but you should have relatively little trouble if you stay organized. Each time you use multiple regression pick the variance inflation factor and Durbin-Watson statistic options.

The problem deals with several important issues in American politics, the most important of which is the apparent decline in voter turnout (in both presidential and congressional elections) during most of the $20^{\text {th }}$ century. The web site has turnout figures defined as percent of eligible voters who actually voted in presidential elections from 1824 to 1996. ( $\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{N}=44$.)

Political scientists and historians have identified and described various electoral eras in American history. Mass participation in electoral politics really got underway in the 1820s and 1830 with the coming of Jacksonian democracy. By the end of the Civil War (1860 to 1864) the vast majority of the eligible electorate voted in presidential and congressional elections. After about 1900, however, turnout declined for several years until the 1930s when it picked up again. But after 1964 it began to fall once more. Many, many factors caused these changes in trend so it is impossible to attribute the collapse of any particular era to a single "event." Nevertheless, political scientists argue that the presidential campaign of 1896 was a "critical" election in that it marked (but did not cause) a long-term shift in partisan loyalties and changes in political behavior. (It is also about this time that the "mass media" began displacing the partisan press, reforms changed voter eligibility rules; and certain sections of the country became overwhelmingly oneparty in their politics. All of these changes, it is suggested, had an adverse effect on participation. So why not attempt to construct a formal model of the event.

1. First retrieve the data set Turnout in presidential elections from the web site or enter them manually from the attached sheet.
2. There are 44 time periods (elections) but the first 4 will, I think, complicate the analysis. So eliminate them.
A. By far the easiest way to do so is to copy the both the year and vote columns omitting the first 4 rows.
i. In MINITAB go to Manipulate, Copy columns, fill in the dialogue box including the option that allows you to omit rows.
B. If you just change the data to missing values with asterisks, some of the graphing programs may not work.
C. You might eliminate the originals in order to keep a neat worksheet.
D. But keep a copy of the original file on your disk.
3. Attach a carefully and fully labeled time series plot or graph of vote versus year or time order. By now you should be able to label the axes and add explanatory titles.
4. You need to create dummy variables to mark off the time periods.
A. Let $\mathrm{X}_{1}=1,2,3, \ldots, 40$. (There are total of 40 time periods for the new data.) I sometimes call this Counter in what follows.
B. Let $X_{2}=0$ for each of the 14 periods before 1896; and 1 for each of the 26 others.
i. The easiest way to do this is to go to the session window and at the prompt enter the set command.
(1) Example: set c5
ii. When you see the data prompt, enter number of "pre-intervention" periods (0) number of post-intervention periods (1).
(1) Example: if you had 5 pre- and 7 post-intervention periods the command at the data prompt would be $5(0) 7(1)$.
iii. Press enter and type end at the next data prompt. You should then see the regular MINITAB prompt.
iv. I sometimes call this Dummy.
C. Let $X_{3}=X_{2} X_{1}$. You can use mathematical expressions or calculator to create the variable. (I sometimes call this Interaction.)
D. I suggest that you name the variables something meaningful such as "Counter" and "Dummy."
i. If you want, attach a copy of the data sheet.
5. Okay, ready for some analysis? Use ANOVA to test the hypothesis that mean turnout out rate in period 1 (pre-intervention) differs from the rate in period 2. (Remember: use the dummy variable, as we have done in the past.)
A. Mean for period 1 $\qquad$ Mean for period 2 $\qquad$
B. Difference between mean 1 and mean 2 $\qquad$
C. Observed F is $\qquad$ with degrees of freedom $\qquad$
D. Probability of F? $\qquad$
6. Now use regression to make the same analysis.
A. Regression equation: $\qquad$
B. Evaluate the model: $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ $\qquad$ s $\qquad$ $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{obs}}=$ $\qquad$
C. What is the expected (predicted) turnout rate for the pre-intervention period?
$\qquad$
D. What is the "estimated" numerical "effect" of the intervention on the level of participation or turnout? $\qquad$
E. Is this effect statistically significant? Explain with the observed test results.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
7. Now develop a model that includes changes in level and slope (trend).
A. Estimated equation? $\qquad$
B. Evaluation: $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ $\qquad$ s $\qquad$ $\mathrm{F}_{\text {obs }}=$ $\qquad$
C. What is the model for the pre-intervention period? $\qquad$
D. What is the model for the post-intervention period? $\qquad$
E. What estimated numerical effect did the intervention (the critical election of 1896) have on the rate of participation in the $20^{\text {th }}$ century? $\qquad$
F. Attach a plot of the residuals against time order. (If you are using the Student version, you will have to store the residuals and then use time series plot.) What pattern, if any, do you see?
G. What is the Durbin-Watson statistic? $\qquad$
8. Let's see if we can't deal with autocorrelation. Obtain the lagged residuals. (You have to have stored them somewhere. Then use Time series and Lag or the simple Lag command at the session window.)
A. What is the estimated value of the autocorrelation parameter, $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ ? $\qquad$
9. Now lag the dependent and independent variables. Why not give them short names to help keep track of their meaning such as "Lag-vote," "Lag-count," and so forth.
10. Now use the original variables, their lags, and the estimated autocorrelation parameter to create new or transformed variables, as described in class.
A. Example: New-vote $=$ Vote $-(.222 *$ Lag-vote $)$
i. Note the punctuation and symbols.
ii. This assumes that the estimated $\rho$ is .222 , which it is not. Use the correct value.
iii. The calculator or mathematical expressions boxes are useful for creating these variables.
B. Again, name them so you can keep track of your work.
C. What is the estimated model using the new or transformed variables?
$\qquad$
D. What this Durbin-Watson statistic? $\qquad$
11. I can tell you right now that multicolinearity is wreaking havoc on the estimates and the significance tests.
A. What is the observed F for this model? $\qquad$
B. What is the $t$ for the trend or counter variable? $\qquad$ Probability? $\qquad$
C. Look that the other individual coefficients and their levels of significance and variance inflation factors. None of them will be significant. The overall model is highly significant but the coefficients are not. That's a tipoff.
12. Try dropping the new dummy variable that allows you to test for change in level. Instead, just include the trend (i.e., modified $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ and the modified $\mathrm{X}_{3}$ ) variables.
A. What is the estimated equation? $\qquad$
B. What is $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ ? $\qquad$ The observed F? $\qquad$ DW? $\qquad$
C. Describe the effect of the intervention on the rate or trend in participation.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
D. Attach a labeled plot of residuals for this model versus order of observations.
13. What is your conclusion? Did turnout decline significantly (in a substantive sense) after 1896 ?
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
14. Could you construct a multiple intervention model for these data? Think about it.
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Turnout in Presidential Elections, 1824 to 1996

| Year | Vote | Year | Vote |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1824 | 26.9 | 1916 | 61.6 |
| 1828 | 57.6 | 1920 | 49.2 |
| 1832 | 55.4 | 1924 | 48.9 |
| 1836 | 57.8 | 1928 | 56.9 |
| 1840 | 80.2 | 1932 | 56.9 |
| 1844 | 78.9 | 1936 | 61.0 |
| 1848 | 72.7 | 1940 | 62.5 |
| 1852 | 69.6 | 1944 | 55.9 |
| 1856 | 78.9 | 1948 | 53.0 |
| 1860 | 81.2 | 1952 | 63.3 |
| 1864 | 73.8 | 1956 | 60.6 |
| 1868 | 78.1 | 1960 | 64.0 |
| 1872 | 71.3 | 1964 | 61.7 |
| 1876 | 81.8 | 1968 | 60.6 |
| 1880 | 79.4 | 1972 | 55.2 |
| 1884 | 77.5 | 1976 | 53.6 |
| 1888 | 79.3 | 1980 | 52.8 |
| 1892 | 74.7 | 1984 | 53.1 |
| 1896 | 79.3 | 1988 | 50.2 |
| 1900 | 73.2 | 1992 | 55.6 |
| 1904 | 65.2 | 1996 | 49.0 |
| 1908 | 65.4 |  |  |
| 1912 | 58.8 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

