# RACE AND SEX DIFFERENCES IN OCCUPATIONAL ASPIRATIONS: THEIR DEVELOPMENT AND CONSEQUENCES FOR OCCUPATIONAL SEGREGATION

Grant No. NIE-G-78-0210

Linda S. Gottfredson

Report No. 254 July 1978

Published by the Center for Social Organization of Schools, supported in part as a research and development center by funds from the United States National Institute of Education, Department of Health, Education and Welfare. The opinions expressed in this publication do not necessarily reflect the position or policy of the National Institute of Education, and no official endorsement by the Institute should be inferred.

The Johns Hopkins University
Baltimore, Maryland

### Introductory Statement

The Center for Social Organization of Schools has two primary objectives: to develop a scientific knowledge of how schools affect their students, and to use this knowledge to develop better school practices and organization.

The Center works through four programs to achieve its objectives. Policy Studies in School Desegregation program applies the basic theories of social organization of schools to study the internal conditions of desegregated schools, the feasibility of alternative desegregation policies, and the interrelation of school desegregation with other equity issues such as housing and job desegregation. The School Organization program is currently concerned with authority-control structures, task structures, reward systems, and peer group processes in schools. It has produced a large-scale study of the effects of open schools, has developed Student Team Learning instructional processes for teaching various subjects in elementary and secondary schools, and has produced a computerized system for school-wide attendance monitoring. The School Process and Career Development program is studying transitions from high school to post secondary institutions and the role of schooling in the development of career plans and the actualization of labor market outcomes. The Studies in Delinquency and School Environments program is examining the interactions of school environments, school experiences, and individual characteristics in relation to in-school and later-life delinquency.

This report, prepared by the Policy Studies in School Desegregation

Program, is part of a series that examines the process by which the races

and sexes become occupationally segregated, how schools are currently con
tributing to or counteracting this form of segregation, and how the educa-

tional system might be involved in new strategies to decrease occupational segregation. This report examines race and sex differences in the development of occupationally-related aspirations, interests, values, and competencies during the elementary through the postsecondary school years.

The first term of the control of the

#### Abstract

This paper examines the development of race and sex differences in occupational aspirations and the possible role of these differences in perpetuating the current under- or overrepresentation of women and blacks in The underrepresentation of women and especially of different occupations. blacks in entrepreneurial jobs (e.g. sales and management) at all levels is stressed because entrepreneurial work constitutes a large proportion of all jobs and it pays better for less education than do other fields of work. Career and Occupational Development assessment data from the National Assessment of Educational Progress regarding occupational aspirations, values, and selfreported competencies of 13-year-olds, 17-year-olds, and adults aged 26-35 were used to examine race and sex differences in orientation to particular types of occupations. On the basis of the results of this as well as other studies, speculations are presented about how people adjust their occupational goals in ways that help perpetuate occupational segregation. The formulation consists of five principles: (a) society-wide stereotypes about good jobs are mirrored in the occupational aspirations of children, (b) the stereotypes about the occupations appropriate for men are different from those for women, (c) these stereotypes are largely the same for all racial and ethnic groups, (d) as children go through adolescence their aspirations become more realistic, and (e) the races and sexes adjust their aspirations towards different sets of occupations. One implication is that strategies to decrease occupational segregation by decreasing educational handicaps will not eradicate all important differences in what the races and sexes bring to the labor market. More attention should be devoted to understanding the socialization processes that lead the races and sexes to seek different jobs.

# Acknowledgments

The advice of the following people is gratefully acknowledged: Henry J. Becker, Vicky C. Brown, Robert A. Gordon, Gary D. Gottfredson, John L. Holland, James M. McPartland, Charles B. Thomas, Gail E. Thomas, and Richard R. Scott.

Some jobs are held primarily by men, others by women, and still others by particular ethnic or racial groups. In the last decade we have increasingly recognized that this uneven distribution of the races and sexes across jobs is often the result of restricted educational and employment opportunities, and we have sought ways to reduce the handicaps that women and minorities have in the labor market. One major strategy has been to decrease discrimination in hiring and promotion. Another strategy has been to increase the academic skills and attainment levels of women and minorities so that a larger proportion are trained for traditionally white male fields such as law, engineering, physical science, and medicine.

This paper proposes that one aspect of unevenness in employment should receive more attention than it currently does—the underrepresentation of women and blacks in sales, management, and other entrepreneurial work.

First, the importance of this underrepresentation in entrepreneurial work is discussed. Second, some reservations about the extent to which our current emphasis on academic improvement among minorities and women is likely to improve their representation in this work are presented. Third, it is argued that race and sex differences in occupational values and aspirations may be important in explaining segregation by type of occupation, and evidence about young people's aspirations are summarized to support this contention. Finally, speculations are presented about the process by which young people adjust their occupational goals in ways that help perpetuate occupational segregation by race and sex.

#### Race and Sex Differences in Employment

Why is the underrepresentation of women and blacks in entrepreneurial work important? Four types of evidence are summarized below: (a) blacks

are more poorly represented in entrepreneurial work than in any other, (b) STATE TO THE THE CONTROL OF STATE OF THE PERSON OF entrepreneurial work constitutes a substantial proportion of all jobs. Table from thought and calculate a data of the life of the contract of the calculation of (c) less education is required on the average to obtain high-level entrerest in the contract of the co preneurial jobs than other types of work, and (d) entrepreneurial work THE TRANSPORT OF AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE pays well. The evidence for these four propositions is drawn primarily particular bara bara care care and all and all all all and all and are a subject and are a subject and bara bar from other studies in the program of research of which the current report Conside Frigoria and almost really considerate from the first transfer restain and the first is a part. In these studies entrepreneurial work is one of six carefully-The state of the second section of the second section of the second section of the second section is defined categories used to classify occupations by field of work, and it received to a complete many and arranged of linear Leavening Call which have been been been been and been also refers primarily to sales and management jobs (both salaried and self-emt , wat he si kriste jabé si ti kathar épités kilito akta hinkat kot ji sontaya ji sika ti ployed).

बाज के जिल्ला है जाते हैं के लिए हैं है कि लिए के बाद के बोज है । बाज के बाद के बाद के लिए के बाद के

Representation is especially low in entrepreneurial work. It is palanta a transferial palanta a la companya da la companya da la companya da la companya da companya da companya widely recognized that blacks and women are on the average employed in lowervolu libri etneggebar adam sett vilkerit ik libri ibi alite betamen eide eide eide level jobs than are white males in the same field of work. It is less apprelinea likitureninginilah rediri bah-linengilan likitus at ni ni bah ciated, though, that race and sex differences in employment among different - Artik leinkahakka kultuk postan harakkaba, sedi ka jadaspilak ki types of jobs at the same level are often at least as large as the differences ribo (for visi) je produkcije od si u popula, koji primera koji se koji ki pri bija (ili koji se primera). Pr among levels of work. Table 1 illustrates this point. Although the percentage the manuscription and the could be seed to be a seed of the continue of the co of workers who are women and the percentage who are blacks tends to be highest , by kullur - , ku tro balka byka byka, byka, kulai rind ka pindrat, boby byk tubuli prisingsi i pod pri pi pi for low-level jobs, the percentage female or black varies greatly even within irrgan beer eterror, regovitentanis (et. nebroodlike beretating beer jeber okili. Geografi (e. 1) the generally high-level professional and technical occupations. The parari Pringgalaka, Itali, ngrija jiya, indalakay regana gantar tendurung patil diras tehneri Canding Cilin esika ticular percentages that I would like to focus on here are those for manageguin leedagala, nii paalinamaa, naklaan toi tuul ah ladan ji jirada ji jirada ladan ka ka kikiten l ment and sales workers, who comprise most but not all of entrepreneurial i oli day ikodi na dama biyi kaliki, biyanda ji kisibu waxe da i kaliki palada akaliki akaliki kisiki kisiki k workers. Although 10% of all workers are black, only 3% of management and Califa alapadakon kadi ji kalab karang kan kadalah kiladak kadalah bi kanadi kina di bibi kadapakan karang kad sales workers are black. This percentage is lower than that for all other Charles of the control of the contro major census categories of work, including the generally higher-level professional and technical work. Although not shown here, generally only 1 to and representation of the contract of the cont 4% of workers in particular sales and management occupations at any level are

and a fit is a fit in the first and the relation of the first and the fit is a section of the fit is a fit of

black (U.S. Bureau of the Census, 1973b). Women are also underrepresented in management, but not in sales.

AND THE PARTY OF T

Insert Table 1 About Here

Using a theoretically-based multidimensional classification of occupations which more clearly separates field and level of work than does the census scheme, Gottfredson (in press a) has shown that blacks are severely underrepresented even in the lowest levels of work classified as entrepreneurial. This contrasts with the pattern for work classified as social (e.g. social service and education), where blacks are reasonably well-represented and women are overrepresented in high-level jobs.

The increasing representation of blacks in natural science, medicine, and other fields traditionally dominated by white males has led some observers (Freeman, 1974) to optimistically infer that employment discrimination has all but disappeared for blacks. Unfortunately, the continuing low representation of blacks in management and sales goes largely unnoticed.

Entrepreneurial work provides a large number of jobs. The failure to pay more attention to race and sex differences in employment in entrepreneurial work is a crucial oversight because entrepreneurial work is a large source of jobs in our economy. About 17% of all workers and about a quarter of all men are in this field of work (Gottfredson, in press a). There are as many high-level jobs in entrepreneurial work as there are in science and medicine. Furthermore, entrepreneurial work is a source of more mid-level employment than are all other fields of work, i.e., clerical, social science and education, manual and technical, artistic, or scientific.

Education is less important for entering high-level entrepreneurial work. Previous research with white men has suggested that attaining a given level of entrepreneurial work requires less investment in education than does attaining an equivalent level in other fields of work (Gottfredson, 1977; in press b). The following examples illustrate this difference among high-level jobs. In 1970, 54% of young (aged 25-34) white male managers and administrators had 13 or more years of education and 30% had 16 or more years (U.S. Bureau of the Census, 1973a). Looking at one specific type of manager--bank officers and financial managers -- the percentages were 73 and 45. In contrast, the corresponding percentages for accountants (an example of high-level office and clerical work) and for social workers were much higher: 89% and 90% had 13 or more years and 64% and 80% had 16 or more years of schooling.

Incomes are high on the average in entrepreneurial work. White males in entrepreneurial work earn more than do white males in other fields and this difference is greater than would be expected on the basis of their education, job level; and hours worked (Gottfredson, 1977). For example, white male full-time entrepreneurial workers aged 36-65 who had only 12 years of education earned as much on the average in 1969 as did men with 16 or more years of education in social service and education (Gottfredson, in press b). The latter group of men earned only about half as much as equally educated men in entrepreneurial or scientific work. Explanations of Employment Differences

Why do we find so few blacks and women in entrepreneurial work? Discrimination in the labor market is one obvious possibility. Blacks and women may have suffered from greater discrimination in entrepreneurial than in

di Tilika je ka je i je i da ki spe ji kaj prijak i kala kompanijaji kalabaja i dak je izak iza i ka kaza

other fields of work. A second type of explanation is that the races and sexes differ in the skills and other job-related attributes they possess even before entering the labor market, and these differences can lead to differences in employment even in the absence of discrimination in hiring and promotion. Sex--and particularly race--differences in academic aptitudes and attainment have received the most attention, and reducing these pre-labor market differences has been a major strategy for promoting occupational equality. As useful as this strategy may be, several pieces of evidence suggest that this strategy may be less useful for increasing the unusually low representation of women and blacks in entrepreneurial work than for changing their representation in other types of work.

Specifically, differences in educational attainment do not seem to be the major explanation of racial differences among men, because no matter what educational group we consider, a much smaller proportion of black than white men are found in entrepreneurial work. In 1970, 28% of white men aged 36-65 who had exactly 12 years of education were in this field compared to only 8% of such blacks; the percentages for men with 16 or more years of education were 39 for whites and 12 for blacks (Gottfredson, in press b). Instead, black men were found more often in manual work (especially if they were not college educated) and in social service and education (especially if they were college educated).

One might argue that even though entrepreneurial jobs may not require much education, they may require especially high levels of intelligence.

But if what we mean by intelligence is academic and intellectual ability as measured by standard aptitude and achievement tests or by grades, this does not appear to be true. Holding job level constant, IQ's are lower among

white men working in sales and management jobs than among men working in science or social service and education (Gottfredson and Brown, 1978b). The foregoing does not exclude the possibility that specific competencies relatively independent of academic aptitude are important in entrepreneurial work and disproportionately possessed by white males. Unfortunately, we have only limited information about race and sex differences in specific job-related abilities. The main problem is that aptitude test batteries assess mechanical, spatial, numerical, computational, and other such abilities useful in mechanical, clerical, and scientific work, but they do not assess the interpersonal competencies important in work with people and which are different for the selling and managing jobs than they are for helping work (e.g. see the classifications of abilities reviewed by Dunnette, 1976).

The remainder of this paper attempts to make the case that there are important pre-labor market differences between the races and sexes other than in education and academic ability. Specifically, there is good reason to expect that blacks and women prefer and look for a different mix of jobs than do white men. These race and sex differences in job search behavior may exacerbate the effects of labor market discrimination and contribute to the underrepresentation of blacks and women in entrepreneurial work even in the absence of discrimination.

Race and Sex Differences in Occupational Aspirations. What reason is there to believe that an analysis of occupational aspirations can help explain race and sex differences in patterns of employment, particularly in entrepreneurial work? First, vocational psychologists have shown that aspirations and interests are useful in predicting field of work actually entered (Nafziger, Holland, Helms, & McPartland, 1974; Lucy, 1976; Campbell,

1971). They also provide explanations of how this occurs: people who are interested in and aspire to different types of work are also likely to develop different sorts of skills, seek different types of education and training, expose themselves to different sorts of occupational experiences and information, and actively look for different kinds of jobs when they enter the labor force (Holland, 1973).

e ties i l'appression distribuir distribuir de la company de la company de la company de la company de la comp Second, there are clear race and sex differences in aspirations. Aland the second of the second though aspirations for level of work are at least as high among blacks and िरामपुर्वकारिक है है। स्थान क्षेत्र का का का का का का कि कि कि कि कि का का का कि women as they are among white men (Kuvlesky, Wright, & Juarez, 1971; Brief The contract for the second contract the second contract of the seco & Aldag, 1975), aspirations for field of work appear to differ by both race is or the continuous time and the continue to the continue of the continue of the continue of the continue of and sex. Females differ dramatically from males in their vocational interests and aspirations for field of work, and sex differences in job-related interests Profession Telegraph and best bear here the trans and competencies are consistent and marked in early childhood as well as in adulthood (Birk, 1975; Gottfredson & Holland, 1975; Nafziger et al., 1974). terka jeun talah mendelengan terbagai pendangan terbagai pendangan These sex differences in aspirations are consistent with sex differences in STARTER CONTROL OF STARTER STARTER actual employment. For example, Gottfredson, Holland, and Gottfredson (1975) teneral levels reflection than been levels and levels are the consequences of found that the distribution of aspirations among male and female adolescents TO BE THE SECTION OF A SECTION OF THE SECTION OF T corresponded roughly to the distribution of employment by field of work among adults of the same sex. Carry and being between the least while and and the same production

Less evidence is available for blacks, but the evidence we have suggests that the aspirations of blacks differ from those of whites even when differences in social class are taken into account. Blacks tend to aspire to high-level jobs relatively more often than do whites (Cosby, 1971; Kuvlesky, Wright, & Juarez, 1971). There also appear to be significant differences in the choice of field of work. Recent data on college students show that black undergraduates major more often in social science and education and

less often in natural science and engineering than do whites (Thomas, 1978; the property of the second Flanagan, Shaycoft, Richards, & Claudy, 1971; El-Khawas & Bisconti, 1974), A CAIR AND AND ARCH IN RESPUE TRANSPERION OF BERNEY DAY DESCRIBED. and vocational interest inventories consistently reveal higher interests igniningi. Jaarinostabida iko laangi kanaratirib daka la kilor ilo ki ca kilokkala among blacks in the helping occupations such as social work and education rolled ber brooklingse landidthinde it as ser ikonollik or by ierleds (Hager & Elton, 1971; Doughtie, Chang, Alston, Wakefield, & Yom, 1976; You'r name and to show courses to reflect the continuous feet of Kimball, Sedlacek, & Brooks, 1973). Several surveys of occupational plans conducted in the 1960's showed that black undergraduates aspired less often Long training and the manageral of the most time about the large events. The conto business careers than did whites (Sharp, 1970; Fichter, 1967), but the would prome make on greek to oth know to these not anothernes. more recent data cited above suggest that this difference may be disappearing. Political and the control of the con We have almost no evidence about the aspirations for field of work among wasan iningali ningasakan dan alaman iningan iningan iningan banan dan iningan iningan iningan iningan iningan people who do not go to college. In a nationally representative sample of Tradical salar got the property but to access the young men aged 14 to 24 in 1966, whites aspired twice as often to sales and roderni za sampititi pro ima inam i disonita in telefit inti ancienational bas management jobs as did blacks, who more often aspired to manual and technical to the constraint and the street also street at a constraint and the constraint is been work and to social service and education jobs (Nafziger et al., 1974). Several old and unrepresentative surveys of Southern black children also revealed this pattern (Gray, 1944; Witty, Garfield, & Brink, 1941). Frankrights William Charlist Thomas Cartes Collins (1977) The second of

Data on racial differences in ratings of occupations are consistent with these differences in aspirations. Although blacks and whites largely rank occupations in the same way (Siegel, 1970), blacks have been shown to rank teacher, mortician, social worker, and other jobs widely held by blacks higher in status than do whites (Brown, 1955).

Available evidence is thus consistent with the hypothesis that race and sex differences in aspirations may be an important determinant of race and sex differences in field of employment (e.g. it shows that women and blacks both aspire to and are employed in social service and educational work more often than are white men). But the bits and pieces of evidence do not answer

r dendari rozva, pratileriy (ili. sakurdi simira) (mih tutu (miki tutu) miki tutu (miki taki) da kali dibi (mik

some basic questions. Are the race and sex differences in aspirations large enough to account for much of the differences in actual employment? At what age do race differences in occupational aspirations and values develop? To what extent are they the result of race differences in social class? And how important might differences in socialization be for orienting women and blacks to different jobs and thus for perpetuating job segregation?

This study was designed to provide evidence for answering such questions. It examined nationally representative data on the occupational aspirations and the vocational decision-making process within three age groups--13-year-olds, 17-year-olds, and young adults aged 26-35. Responses to four questions were used to examine race and sex differences in how young people think about and make their occupational choices: What should you consider when choosing a job, what job are you most interested in, what do you like and dislike about your first job choice, and what abilities do you have or not have that you should consider when selecting a job?

Only by charting the course of development of race and sex differences will we know where interventions are likely to be most effective.

If there are no race differences in orientation to field of work or if they develop only after entry into the labor market, then we probably need to concentrate primarily on changing the job opportunities of blacks rather than the characteristics they bring with them to the job market.

#### Me thod

Data were obtained from the 1973-74 assessment of career and occupational development conducted by the National Assessment of Educational Progress (1977). This assessment was designed to measure the development of occupational skills, knowledge, attitudes, and decision-making ability in a

nationally representative sample of four age groups: 9-year-olds, 13-year-olds, 17-year-olds, and adults aged 26-35. Three age groups are included in the analyses presented here; 9-year-olds are not included because they were not asked the particular questions used in the following analyses.

The following questions were used to examine job aspirations, values, and abilities:

Aspirations. The 13- and 17-year-olds were asked about their future job plans and to name the one job they were most interested in doing.

Adults were asked what their present main job was, but no question was included on aspirations comparable to that of the two younger groups.

Values. All age groups were asked to list ten different things that a person should think about in choosing a job or career. The 13- and 17-year-olds were also asked to name three things they would like and three things they would dislike about their first job choice. Acceptable responses were grouped into 11 categories such as responsibility and challenge, job duties, availability, and prestige.

Abilities. Only one item assessed job-related abilities and it was included only in the assessment of adults. They were asked the following question. "Suppose you were looking for a job, what two skills or abilities do you have that would help you select the job? If you are looking for a job, what two skills or abilities do you LACK that you would want to consider in selecting the job?" Most acceptable responses were grouped into 9 categories of specific skills (such as professional, managerial, or clerical) or into 4 general skills (such as physical, intellectual, or interpersonal).

Occupational aspirations are grouped in this report into 5 levels: professional, semi-professional, skilled, semi-skilled, and unskilled. The

more detailed occupational groups were classified into these five levels according to the mean prestige (Gottfredson & Brown, 1978a) of the occupational titles subsumed within the groups. Housewives and military officers are reported separately but are assumed to be similar in status to the skilled groups.

All analyses were performed separately by race and sex. In order to provide a crude measure of social class, students were divided into two groups according to the highest educational level of either of their parents: those whose parents had 12 or fewer years of education and those whose parents had 13 or more years.

Several characteristics of the assessment design should be noted.

First, the assessment items for each age group were divided into from 4 to 14 non-overlapping separate sub-assessments. Each of these units of assessment items was given to approximately 2500 people. No one in the three youngest groups was given more than one unit of items; some adults were given two, three, or all four of the units for adults. Therefore, the results reported here for a given age group do not necessarily refer to the same people. Tables 2 and 3 refer to one set of people and Tables 4, 5, and 6 refer to another set of people.

Second, 17-year-olds were classified as either in school or out of school (which includes both high school dropouts and early graduates) and these two groups were not always asked the same questions. Some of the tables presented here include all 17-year-olds, others include only those still in school. If an item refers only to in-school 17-year-olds, this is noted on the tables.

Germania Principalita da

Third, the sample design is a complex, stratified multi-stage design that does not provide for direct, unbiased, simple, or inexpensive computation of sampling errors. Tests of significance are therefore not reported here. Small percentage differences should not be considered significant because some of the groups in the analyses are quite small. The percentages in the tables are based on weighted data, but the N's reported in the tables are the unweighted number of cases.

The National Assessment data are particularly valuable for investigating patterns of early occupational development among youngsters of different races and sexes because they are nationally representative. Another advantage is that different age groups are assessed with the same items permitting some inferences about development with age. When the assessments are repeated in the coming years, cohort differences can be better disentangled from developmental changes, thus making possible more valid inferences about development with age.

### Findings

The following pages describe the race, sex, and age differences and similarities found in the analyses of occupational aspirations, values, and abilities. Some interpretation of these results is provided as they are presented, and a full discussion of the role of the differences in maintaining occupational segregation appears in the final section of this paper.

All three age groups were asked to list ten things a person should consider when choosing a job. Table 2 shows the percentage of people unable to list anything at all as well as the median number of things listed by people who were able to list at least one thing. Percentages are presented

separately by age, sex, race, and social class. The two striking differa Dangarana na kamar ences are that the ability to name anything at all, as well as the number of things mentioned, were greater with age and were greater among whites than among blacks of similar social class.

Parkalanda di kalikat kalikat dibenakat bahir dikan dilikat, dalam katina kalingdi ada kitasa di Akade pilik

Insert Table 2 About Here

Table 3 provides a picture of the pattern of particular things considered because it presents the percentages of people mentioning particular factors at least once (if they mentioned anything at all). Although the percentage of people listing each particular factor at least once varies by social class (table not shown), results are not shown separately by social class in this table because the differences seem to result primarily from variations in the number of things these groups name rather than from differences in the patterns of which particular factors they mention most often. The percentages also differ by race, sex, and age, but the pattern of which particular things are mentioned most and least often is largely the same across all groups because the differences appear to result primarily from differences in the number of items mentioned. This table is useful, though, for showing some large age differences (particularly between 17-year-olds and adults whose response rates are similar) and the consistent pattern of which factors are mentioned most often by all age, sex, and race groups.

Insert Table 3 About Here

Working conditions (which include pay) are mentioned by almost everyone who mentions anything at all. General statements about being interested in or satisfied with the work are also mentioned by most people, but references to specific job duties are mentioned by only 9-18% of the people. Ability to get a job (as reflected by mentioning job qualifications and personal abilities) are of next most concern, with generally a third to a half of the people mentioning each of these two factors. Although this concern is higher for 17-year-olds than for adults (possibly because the adults have more experience and training), concern for job availability and opportunity for advancement is greater with age. Responsibility and interpersonal relations received the least mention. Two other factors--discrimination and ethical considerations--were mentioned rarely and are not listed in Table 3.

The races and sexes are similar in what they say they should consider in selecting a job. But do they select the same jobs? Tables 4 and 5 show that they do not. Table 4 summarizes differences in the <u>levels</u> of work desired by 13- and 17-year olds. The upper panel shows results for all students; the lower panel controls somewhat for social class by presenting results only for students whose parents had 12 or fewer years of education. When the results for all students of both races are examined, the major difference is that more women than men aspire to professional and semi-professional jobs but that the proportion of women aspiring to such jobs drops by age 17. Race differences are apparent only when social class is controlled. When only students with lesser-educated parents are considered, it is clear that (at least among 17-year-olds) a higher proportion of blacks aspire to the two higher levels of work.

Insert Table 4 About Here

Table 5 shows the specific categories of work that the students aspire to. The first observation is that sex differences are much larger than race differences. The second is that the racial differences which do exist are consistent with the previously noted racial differences in employment. At age 13 white males aspire more often than black males to be business managers and owners, farmers, and "other professionals" whereas black males more often aspire to be social workers or they more often fail to state any aspiration.

The major difference among the 13-year-old females is that white females favor teaching more often than do blacks who in turn aspire more often to nursing.

Insert Table 5 About Here

e produce place in the control of the state of the control of the state of the control of the co

Although data for the 17-year-olds exclude those who are no longer in school, the differences between the 13- and 17-year-olds suggest that aspirations change during adolescence. The pattern of age differences suggests that aspirations become more similar to the actual employment patterns of adults. Aspirations for the glamour jobs of athlete (for males) and model or stewardess (for females) decrease. The major difference for white males is an increase for craftsman and mechanic and a decrease for lawyer and doctor. It appears that the older black males no longer consider becoming lawyers and natural scientists and instead say they want to be non-medical technicians, draftsmen, mechanics, and military officers. A relatively high proportion still want to be athletes. At age 17, fewer females want to be teachers and nurses and more want to be clerical workers. One major

racial difference among 17-year-old females is the same as for the 13-year-old females: whites more often prefer teaching and blacks more often prefer nursing. The other race difference among the 17-year-old females is that white females aspire more often to clerical work and black females to "other professional" (largely social science).

Table 2 suggested that older youngsters have thought more about prospec-CHURRISTON THREE , america di , si anga bajis tive occupations because they are able to say more about what they should "在李某这种是老的女孩,可以是是一种的,是那样就是这个,是我的时候这个时候,这是一点还是这些的。"这样 consider in their choice. Tables 4 and 5 imply that the 13-year-olds have recognized the difference between "female occupations" and "male occupations." d st palmas, has travell not whence And they imply that by age 17 youngsters have recognized where most people of their own sex are actually employed, because boys apparently have shifted aspirations toward more manual work and women toward more clerical work. Although there are race differences in aspirations, they are small compared to sex differences and compared to race differences that might have been expected on the basis of actual employment. The results do indicate that white males more often prefer sales and management work than do either blacks or women, but the differences are negligible, especially considering the much larger race and sex differences in actual entrepreneurial employment among adults. Although youngsters aspirations appear to become more "realistic" with age, they are still quite different in the aggregate from the jobs the youngsters are actually likely to get. This is true not only for blacks and women, but for white males as well. In particular, note how few white males aspire to entrepreneurial work. In fact, a much smaller proportion of the white males aspired to managerial work than to the skilled trades although the former is a larger source of employment for destinate in the control of the cont white men.

The next assessment item asked students to state three things they liked and three things they disliked about their first job choice. Responses were classified by the National Assessment into the same categories as were the responses to the earlier item asking people to list the things they would consider when choosing a job, with the addition of one category—helping people. It appears that although helping people is not a frequently—cited consideration in choosing a job, it is frequently cited as a criterion for evaluating job choices already made. Responses fall primarily into the five categories shown in Table 6. Few people mentioned anything that is not included in these five categories; for example, surprisingly few mentioned prestige, availability, or qualifications required. There appeared to be social class differences, so the results are presented both for all students and for students with lesser-educated parents.

Of the five categories, only "helping people" is clearly indicative of interests in some rather than other fields of work. Although dealing with people is important in both social and enterprising work, helping (rather than persuading or managing) people is particularly characteristic of work in social service and education but not of entrepreneurial work. Thus the only prediction was that women and blacks would mention helping people as something they liked about their job choice more often than would white males. Table 6 shows that this appears to be true. Looking at the lower panel, which includes only students with lesser-educated parents, black males more often than whites cite helping people as a desirable aspect of their job choice—even though it was not clear from Table 5 that they actually had chosen "helping" occupations more often. Once again the sex differences are larger than the race differences. There are no clear age differences

between the two age groups for this category.

Insert Table 6 About Here

Table 5 suggested that both males and females change their aspirations with age, but they change their aspirations in sex-typed ways. Table 7 indicates that the work that adult men and women consider looking for has become even more sex-typed than in adolescence. This table shows the percentages of adults mentioning different abilities they have and abilities they lack that they should consider when selecting a job. The abilities men and women mention as having tend to be the same ones men and women say they lack. The abilities mentioned differ by sex, though, indicating that their statements do not necessarily reflect differences in abilities actually possessed but that they reflect the abilities that men and women are concerned about having. Looking at specific areas of occupational competence, it appears that men are concerned primarily about their skills in professional, manual, and operative work. In contrast, women are concerned primarily about their clerical and office skills.

It seems that by early adulthood sex differences in work orientation have become pronounced. Experience in sex-typed jobs may be an important cause of this because, as Table 8 shows, young women are employed disproportionately in clerical work and men in managerial and manual work and have probably developed the skills for these jobs through on-the-job experience and training. Racial differences are also in accord with patterns of aspirations and employment described earlier, but they are less striking than the sex differences. Although blacks tend to mention fewer areas of concern,

a greater proportion of black women emphasized health service and "other service" skills. In addition, a smaller proportion of both blacks and females than of white males are concerned about entrepreneurial (i.e. managerial, business and sales) skills.

Insert Tables 7 and 8 About Here

An earlier table (Table 4) suggested that interest in entrepreneurial work increased with age among male adolescents, although it was always about twice as frequent among whites. Table 7 suggests that this trend continues during the early years after high school. The race differences in orientation to entrepreneurial work may be partly a result of different experiences in the labor market as just suggested, but the difference in orientation does appear to develop before youngsters have much experience in the labor market. This suggests that differences in what the races and sexes want to do, even before they look for jobs, limit the range of jobs they seek; differences in the jobs they get then amplify the initial differences in preferences for field of work.

## Conclusions

The objective of this paper was to examine the hypothesis that race and sex differences in aspirations for field of work are an important determinant of race and sex differences in field of actual employment. The underrepresentation of women and blacks in entrepreneurial work was of special concern because such work provides a large pool of well-paying jobs which do not require a large investment in education. Cross-sectional data on the occupational aspirations, values, and self-reported competencies of three age groups

were used to explore the development of differences in the jobs the races and sexes orient themselves to in adolescence and early adulthood. The analysis focused on documenting the size of race and sex differences in occupational aspirations for different fields of work and the ages at which they appear. The data are not sufficient to answer the question of how much of an effect race and sex differences in aspirations have on actual employment, but they do provide evidence about whether they might be able to account for a significant proportion of the differences in employment.

The analyses reveal that race and sex differences in aspirations are consistent with race and sex differences in actual employment among adults. The race differences in aspirations are small compared to differences in field of employment, but they appear to increase with age. The races are more different in orientation to work at the end of high school than at the beginning and the bit of data available here for orientation among young adults suggests that the races continue to diverge during the years just after high school and as youngsters enter the labor force. Sex differences are striking at all ages. All race and sex groups become more realistic with age in the sense that aspirations for fantasy occupations such as athlete and stewardess decrease and there is a net downward shift in aspirations from the professions towards those occupations such as clerical and manual work which offer a substantial proportion of actual employment.

Although blacks and women are less interested in entrepreneurial work than are white men, and even though the gap increases with age, it is noteworthy that only a small proportion of even white male adolescents show interest in entrepreneurial work. Whereas this research began with the hypothesis that a low interest in entrepreneurial work among blacks and females

helped explain their underrepresentation in that type of work, the results focus attention instead on why it is that so many white men are employed in entrepreneurial work despite an apparent low interest in it among adolescents and why they seem to develop interests in it but women and blacks do not. In short, the results are consistent with the original hypothesis about race and sex differences in employment corresponding to differences in aspirations, but the results clearly show that hypothesis to be too simple-minded.

# Speculations About How Aspirations Change

On the basis of the data presented here and elsewhere, the following speculations are presented about how people adjust their occupational goals in ways that help perpetuate occupational segregation. Naturally, these speculations can tell only part of the story of how segregation by type of work is maintained--society still imposes obstacles which people do not internalize or accept. But by piecing the present results together with other evidence and speculation about career development, the following five principles emerge to explain how individuals become implicated in the process of their own segregation.

First, there are society-wide stereotypes about what are good jobs, and the occupational aspirations of children mirror these stereotypes. People of all ages appear to share the same stereotypes about what workers in different fields are like (O'Dowd & Beardslee, 1960, 1967; Marks & Webb, 1969) and about the general desirability of different occupations (Hodge, Siegel, & Rossi, 1964; Plata, 1975; Fossum & Moore, 1975). Most children aspire to the jobs commonly perceived as the most desirable jobs, a phenomenon referred to by vocational pschologists as a lack of realism (Crites, 1969).

Second, these society-wide stereotypes differ enormously by sex and reflect widely-held conceptions of what are appropriate roles for the two sexes. The "best" jobs for men are in science and the professions; the "best" jobs for women and in teaching and nursing (Tibbetts, 1975; Shinar, 1975). Very early aspirations often include glamour jobs such as model, actress, athlete, and airplane pilot, but these largely disappear during adolescence.

Third, these early stereotypes are largely the same for all racial and ethnic groups (c.f. Kuvlesky, Wright, & Juarez, 1971), although they do vary in ways which reflect the special difficulties or opportunities of a social group. Postal worker, for example, has sometimes been found to be a common aspiration of young black males (Witty, Garfield, & Brink, 1941), probably because blacks are disproportionately employed in this occupation (see Table 1). These relatively isolated variations also exist for different geographic regions and communities (Gray, 1944). But adherence to the society-wide sex roles is the dominant influence on early aspirations of both blacks and whites.

<u>more realistic</u>. They have thought more about their own abilities and interests and have learned more about what kinds of work exist. And most importantly, they begin to recognize more clearly what sorts of jobs people actually have. As they face this reality they develop expectations about which jobs they probably can and cannot get and their aspirations come to resemble their expectations more closely. This process of lowering aspirations occurs for all race and sex groups because people in all social groups face barriers of one sort of another.

The process of adjusting aspirations to reflect more realistic possibilities proceeds throughout the years preceding labor force entry and into early careers. This is quite clear from data on the aspirations and employment for young white men. We find that distributions of aspirations at age 16 diverge markedly from the actual employment patterns of adult white men, but there is a steady evolution in the distribution of aspirations which eventually culminates in the convergence of the distributions of aspirations and employment (Gottfredson, 1978; Flanagan, et al., 1971). Realistic adjustment to one's own abilities and opportunities is also evident in the science to non-science shift in majors that occurs among college students (Astin & Panos, 1969).

And fifth, the patterns of adjustment differ systematically by both race and sex. During this adjustment process, socialization of the races and sexes produces an increasing divergence in aspirations. The process for white men is described first.

Young white males generally aspire to the best jobs that are widely considered appropriate for males, that is, many aspire to the professions. As many of these boys realize that they do not have the academic ability, the interest, or the opportunity to enter these occupations they begin to consider other jobs. The two major alternatives generally considered appropriate for their sex, which have at least moderate levels of prestige and which employ large numbers of men, are the skilled trades and work in sales and management. Of these two, managerial and sales jobs are on the average higher in status and pay and are more likely to be considered the best of the two alternatives. And we do indeed find a steady growth in interest in such work. In the research cited above for young white men (Gottfredson,

1978), the proportion of young men aspiring to sales and management work steadily rises from 12% at age 16 to over 30% by age 28 and that for science and medicine falls from 27% to under 10%.

In contrast, many women--both black and white--initially aspire to teaching and nursing, the stereotypical "best" jobs for women. As women adjust their aspirations, they begin to more often consider clerical work, which is the major source of employment for women. One reason that women by choice fall back to clerical rather than managerial work is that--as currently structured--clerical work demands less career commitment and is more easily reentered after a period of non-employment. In addition, entrepreneurial work often places women in positions of dominance over male workers, which is often considered inappropriate in terms of current sex roles. Neither are women likely to pursue blue-collar work, which is not only considered "inappropriate" for their sex, but is also considered lower in status than clerical work by many people.

Black males begin adolescence with essentially the same aspirations as do white males. But when they abandon their initial high aspirations, they are likely to consider not management and sales, but social service and education if they are highly educated and the skilled trades or other bluecollar work if they are not so well educated. They are unlikely to consider one enormous pool of white collar jobs--clerical work--because it is at the present time generally considered "women's work" although it is of higher status than the manual jobs that many of the men eventually take.

One major reason that black men may not seriously consider sales and management is that they expect racial hostility and interpersonal strain when they try to manage or persuade whites. In addition, a 1964 survey of predom-

inantly black colleges in the South suggests that they perceive more discrimination in such work than in other fields (Fichter, 1967). Freeman (1974) points out that companies began to recruit at predominantly black colleges only in the late 1960's, and several studies show that income gaps between blacks and whites are especially large in business and management (Sharp, 1970; Hauser and Featherman, 1974).

Differences in socialization and family circumstances may also be important because business careers are not as clearly linked to the educational system as are the professions and semi-professions. Access to business careers is more intimately tied to the development of skills, values, and job information through informal contacts with family and friends. For example, Astin and Panos (1969) found that parents' income and a father being in business were associated with college students choosing business majors. Other research suggests that men in high-level sales and management are distinguished from men in other fields of high-level work by one or more of the following factors: being relatively less educated, having lower academic ability, and being from higher status families (Gottfredson & Brown, 1978b). Blacks have fewer role models in management; and they have fewer friends and relatives who can provide them information about job openings and who can pass on to them the special non-academic capabilities and world view possessed by high-level salesmen and managers. In other words, when white males abandon their aspirations for professional work, they turn to their reservoir of entrepreneurial contacts and skills; black men seldom have such resources.

The adjustments to reality and the race and sex differences in occupational aspirations have been documented in many studies. What is new in the speculation presented here is the suggestion that these adjustments are patterned, that they vary systematically, first by sex and then by race. This explanation of the underrepresentation of women and blacks in entrepreneurial work is oversimplified but it does have some important implications for designing research and social policy. We should know more about how people get into and succeed in entrepreneurial work and we should look for the special problems that blacks and women may face in such work. And finally, although we can expect policies which are designed to improve the academic achievements of women and blacks to increase their representation in some types of high-level work, we must be more skeptical about the usefulness of these policies for changing the patterns of employment in entrepreneurial work.

#### References

- Astin, Alexander W. & Panos, Robert J. The educational and vocational development of college students. Washington, D.C.: American Council on
  Education, 1969.
- Birk, Janice M. Reducing sex bias: Factors affecting the client's view of the use of career interest inventories. In Diamond, E.E. (ed.). <u>Issues of sex bias and sex fairness in career interest measurement</u>. Washington, D.C.: Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, National Institute of Education, 1975.
- Brief, Arthur P. & Aldag, Ramon J. Male-female differences in occupational attitudes within minority groups. <u>Journal of Vocational Behavior</u>, 1975, <u>6</u>, 305-314.
- Brown, Morgan C. The status of jobs and occupations as evaluated by an urban Negro sample. American Sociological Review, 1955, 20, 561-566.
- Campbell, David. <u>Handbook for the Strong vocational interest blank</u>. Stanford, California: Stanford University Press, 1971.
- Cosby, Arthur. Black-white differences in aspirations among deep south high school students. <u>Journal of Negro Education</u>, 1971, <u>40</u>, 17-21.
- Crites, John O. Vocational psychology. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1969.
- Doughtie, Eugene B., Chang, Wei-ming C., Alston, Herbert L., Wakefield, James A., Jr., & Yom, Lee B. Black-white differences on the vocational preference inventory. <u>Journal of Vocational Behavior</u>, 1976, <u>8</u>, 41-44.
- Dunnette, Marvin D. Aptitudes, abilities, and skills. In Dunnette, M.D. (ed.).

  Handbook of industrial and organizational psychology. Chicago: RandMcNally, 1976.

- El-Khawas, Elaine H. & Bisconti, Ann S. <u>Five and ten years after college</u>
  entry. Washington, D.C.: American Council on Education, 1974.
- Fichter, Joseph H. <u>Graduates of predominantly Negro colleges. Class of 1964.</u>

  Washington, D.C.: U.S Government Printing Office, 1967.
- Flanagan, John C., Shaycoft, Marion F., Richards, James M., Jr., & Claudy,

  John G. <u>Project TALENT.</u> Five years after high school. Pittsburgh,

  Pennsylvania: American Institutes for Research and University of Pittsburgh. 1971.
- Fossum, John A. & Moore, Michael L. The stability of longitudinal and cross-sectional occupational prestige rankings. <u>Journal of Vocational Behavior</u>, 1975, 7, 305-311.
- Freeman, Richard. The implications of the changing labor market for members of minority groups. In Gordon, M.S. (ed.). Higher education and the labor market. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1974.
- Gottfredson, Gary D., & Holland, John L. Vocational choices of men and women: A comparison of predictors from the Self-Directed Search.

  Journal of Counseling Psychology, 1975, 22, 28-34.
- Gottfredson, Gary D., Holland, John L., & Gottfredson, Linda S. The relation of vocational aspirations and assessments to employment reality.

  <u>Journal of Vocational Behavior</u>, 1975, 7, 135-148.
- Gottfredson, Linda S. A multiple-labor market model of occupational achievement. Center for Social Organization of Schools Report No. 225.

  Baltimore: The Johns Hopkins University, 1977.
- Gottfredson, Linda S. An analytical description of employment according to race, sex, prestige, and Holland type of work. <u>Journal of Vocational</u>

  <u>Behavior</u>, in press. (a)

- Gottfredson, Linda S. Providing black youth more access to enterprising work. <u>Vocational Guidance Quarterly</u>, in press. (b)
- Gottfredson, Linda S. Aspiration-job match: Age trends in a large nationallyrepresentative sample of young men. Working paper. Baltimore, Maryland:
  The Johns Hopkins University, Center for Social Organization of Schools,
  1978.
- Gottfredson, Linda S. & Brown, Vicky C. Holland codes for the 1960 and 1970 census: Detailed occupational titles. <u>JSAS Catalog of Selected Documents in Psychology</u>, 1978, 8, 22. (a)
- Gottfredson, Linda S. & Brown, Vicky C. Occupational differentiation in the first decade after high school. Paper to be presented at the 1978

  Annual Meeting of the American Sociological Association. (b)
- Gray, Susan. The vocational preferences of Negro school children. <u>Journal</u> of Genetic Psychology, 1944, 64, 239-247.
- Hager, Paul C. & Elton, Charles F. The vocational interests of black males.

  <u>Journal of Vocational Behavior</u>, 1971, 1, 153-158.
- Hauser, Robert M. & Featherman, David L. White-nonwhite differentials in occupational mobility among men in the United States, 1962-1972. <u>Demography</u>, 1974, <u>11</u>, 247-265.
- Hodge, Robert W., Siegel, Paul M., & Rossi, Peter H. Occupational prestige in the United States: 1925-1963. American Journal of Sociology, 1964, 70, 286-302.
- Holland, John L. Making vocational choices: A theory of careers. Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey: Prentice-Hall, 1973.
- Kimball, Ronald L., Sedlacek, William E., & Brooks, Glenwood C., Jr. Black and white vocational interests in Holland's self-directed search (SDS).

  Journal of Negro Education, 1973, 42, 1-4.

- Kuvlesky, W. T., Wright, D. E., & Juarez, R. Z. Status projections and ethnicity: A comparison of Mexican American, Negro, and Anglo youth. <u>Journal of Vocational Behavior</u>, 1971, 1, 127-151.
- Lucy, W. T. An adult population reflects the stability of Holland's personality types over time. <u>Journal of College Student Personnel</u>, 1976, 17, 76-79.
- Marks, E. J., & Webb, S. C. Vocational choice and professional experience as factors in occupational image. <u>Journal of Applied Psychology</u>, 1969, <u>53</u>, 292-300.
- Nafziger, Dean H., Holland, John L., Helms, Sam T., & McPartland, James M.

  Applying an occupational classification to the work histories of young men and women. <u>Journal of Vocational Behavior</u>, 1974, <u>5</u>, 331-345.
- National Assessment of Educational Progress. <u>Career and occupational de-velopment technical report:</u> Exercise volume. Career and Occupational Development Report No. 05-COD-20. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1977.
- O'Dowd, D. D., & Beardslee, D. C. College student images of a selected group of professions and occupations. USOE, Cooperative Research

  Project No. 562 (8142). Middletown, Conn.: Wesleyan University, 1960.
- O'Dowd, D. D. & Beardslee, D. C. Development and consistency of student images of occupations. USOE Cooperative Research Project No. 5-0858, Oakland University, 1967.
- Plata, Maximino. Stability and change in the prestige rankings of occupations over 49 years. <u>Journal of Vocational Behavior</u>, 1975, <u>6</u>, 95-99.
- Sharp, Laure M. Education and employment: The early careers of college graduates. Baltimore, Maryland: The Johns Hopkins Press, 1970.

A the America of Carlot Series of the form

- Shinar, Eva H. Sexual stereotypes of occupations. <u>Journal of Vocational</u>

  <u>Behavior</u>, 1975, <u>7</u>, 99-111.
- Siegel, Paul M. Occupational prestige in the Negro subculture. In Laumann,

  E.O. (ed.). Social stratification: Theory and research. New York:

  Bobbs-Merrill, 1970.
- Thomas, Gail E. The representation of race and sex groups in higher education: Institutional and program enrollment statuses. Paper presented at the 1978 annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, 1978.
- Tibbetts, Sylvia L. Sex-role stereotyping in the lower grades: Part of the solution. <u>Journal of Vocational Behavior</u>, 1975, 6, 255-261.
- U.S. Bureau of the Census, Census of population: 1970. Subject reports.

  Earnings by occupation and education. Final Report PC(2)-8B. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1973. (a)
- U.S. Bureau of the Census. Census of population: 1970. Subject reports.

  Occupational characteristics. Final Report PC(2)-7A. Washington,

  D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1973. (b)
- Witty, Paul, Garfield, Sol, & Brink, William. A comparison of the vocational interests of Negro and White high-school students. <u>Journal of Educational Psychology</u>, 1941, <u>32</u>, 124-132.

riciónale. Significación de la company Significación de la company de la company

Table 1
Percentages of Workers in Selected Occupations

Who Are Women and Who Are Black (1970)

Occupation	% Who Are Women	% Who Are Black
TOTAL	38	10
Professional, Technical	40	<u>5</u>
Engineer	5 dec 5 5 <b>2</b> 5 5 7 5 7 7	31
Physicist	4	i i i
Lawyer 1532 Table 1832	11 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5	\$40 (78# <b>1</b> ) b
Physician	9	2
Accountant	25	2
Psychologist	36	4
Teacher	70	8
Librarian Nurse	79 • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	6 8
Managers & Administrators	17	
Sales Workers	<u>39</u>	_ 3
Clerical Workers	<u>74</u>	<u>.</u>
Postal Clerk		22
Bank Teller	86	$\bar{4}$
Secretary	98	3
<u>Craftsmen</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>6</u>
<u>Operatives</u>	<u>31</u> .	13
Laborers	<b>8</b> .	<u>20</u>
Service Workers	<u>61</u>	<u>21</u>
Police Officer	4	7
Cleaning Service		r la ve the life
workers	32	27
Health Service		
	88	22
workers		

SOURCE: U.S. Bureau of the Census. 1970 Census of Population: 1970 Subject Reports. Occupational Characteristics. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1973, Table 38, pp. 582-592.

Table 2

Percentage of People Unable to Name Any Things to be Considered When Choosing a Job and Median Number of Things Mentioned by Those Mentioning at Least One <sup>a</sup> , by Sex and Highest Educational Level (Years) of Parents	of Peopl aber of and	People Unable er of Things M and Highest	Le to Nar Mentione Educat:	ne Any 1 ed by Th ional Le	hings lose Me	nable to Name Any Things to be Considered V ngs Mentioned by Those Mentioning at Least hest Educational Level (Years) of Parents	nsider at Le Paren	ed When C ast One <sup>a</sup> , ts	n Choosing e <sup>d</sup> , by Sex,	ng ex., Race.		
	White	te Males	8	Bla	Black Males	es	Whi	White Fem	Females	B1	Black Females	ales
Parents'Education: ≤12	: <b>≤</b> 12	13+	Total	<b>≤</b> 12	13+	Tota1	<b>≤</b> 12	13+	Tota1	<b>≥</b>	13+	Tota
Percentage Unable to Name	Any											
Age 13	6.2	<b>்</b> ஸ்	5.	19.5 5.	16.0	18.5	ю	2.5		28.2	17.5	24.1
Age 17	2.7	0.5	1.5	13.6	19.2	15.5	9.0	0.5	9 <b>.</b> 0		<b>ы</b> О	
Adult	2.3	0. 0	1.6	10.2	4 4	9.2	2.2	۳. ص	<b>1.</b> •	<b>6</b>	0	У.
Median Number of Things Mentioned by	ntioned	Å,										
Those Mentioning At Least (	One											
Age 13	4.8	5.3	5.0	2.9	3.7	3.2	4.9	5.4	5.2	m m	ଦ୍ . ୩/	3.6
/Se 17	9.9	7.7	7.1		ر. 8	4.3	6.7	7.2	7.0	<b>7</b> .	ج د	4.7
Adu1€	7.7	& 9•	<b>∺.</b> ∞	5.6	7.8	6.5	6.7	80 7.	7.2	4.9	<b>6.</b> 9	7
Unweighted (N)												
Age 13 Age 17 Adult		(877) (1028) (836)			(141) (148) (77)			(901) (1056) (944)			(171) (134) (123)	

Respondents were asked to list 10 things to be considered.

Table 3

Percentage of People in Three Age Groups Mentioning Different Factors
to Consider When Choosing a Job, a By Sex and Race

ectors to onsider	Age	White Male	Black Male	White Female	Black Female
esponsibility,	13	<b>12</b>	9	10	5
challenge	17	15	12	15	15
	Adult	20	18	21	10
ersonal satisfaction,	13	71	38	79	57
interest	17	85	75	91	71
	Adult	72	65	78	59
estige, opportunity	13	10	6	9	7.,
for advancement	17	34	19	25	13
	Adult	58	42	53	31
vailability of job	13	10	2	11	1
	17	29	14	27	15
	Adult	53	38	36	14
ties	13	17	18	13	17
	17	14	14	10	16
	Adult	13	9	.9	17
orking conditions,	13	93	82	89	75
pay	17	96	80	93	79
	Adult	94	88	94	78
ob qualifications	13	36	32	43	41
	17	48	38	60	53
	Adult	43	29	54	34
ersonal abilities	13	38	34	58	33
	17	41	50	60	46
	Adult	40	32	50	38
terpersonal	13	27	9	30	21 19
relations	17	30	15	35	
	Adult	32	21	24	17
nweighted (N)	13	(877)	(141)	(901)	(171)
	17	(1028)	(148)	(1056)	(134)
	Adult	(836)	(77)	(944)	(123)

a Respondents were asked to list 10 things to consider and NAFP then grouped responses into the general factors listed in this table. People who were unable to mention anything were excluded from the calculations for this table.

Table 4
Percentage of Students<sup>a</sup> Aspiring to Occupations of a Given Level or Higher, By Sex and Race

(Cumulative Percentages)

Derophtage Achiring						D		
to this Level	White Males	Black Males	White Females	Black Females	White Males	Black Males	White Females	Black Females
			All Stu	Students				
Professional	37	35	<b>7</b> 7	30	37	27	28	28
Semi-Professional	<b>*</b>	67	80	79	09	49	99	89
Sk <b>d</b> 11ed	87	06	95	95	68	92	92	92
Housewife, Military	06	91	66	86	91	97	26	76
Semi-Skilled	26	97	66	66	. 26	66	66	86
Unskilled	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Unweighted (N)	(662)	(127)	(813)	(158)	(751)	(110)	(783)	(120)
	Students Whos	ose Parents	Have Had	12 or Fewer	Years of Education	ucation		
Professional	29	38	37	36	24	27	18	29
Semi-Professional	25	73	92	12	<b>78</b>	29	54	73
Skilled	98	98	693	16		76	<b>&amp;</b>	06
Housewife, Military	88	87	66	26	87	86	95	92
Semi-Skilled	26	95	66	66	96	100	66	26
Unskilled	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Unweighted (N) .	(293)	(89)	(399)	(84)	(334)	(62)	(382)	(72)

aStudents not expressing an occupational aspiration are excluded.

Occupational Aspirations of 13-Year-Old and 17-Year-Old Students, By Sex and Race (Percentages) Table 5

		Age				Age 17 (	(in school)	
	White Male	Black Male	White Female	Black Female	White Male	Black Male	White Female	Black Female
Professional								
Lawyer	9.4	6.1	6. 1	4.1	2.4	6.0	0 8	o C
Physician	10.1	10.8	12.2	11.9	67	10.5	) ( • (*)	7.5
Natural scientist	0.6	 	1,5	0.0	e. Ø	8.0	 	; o
Teacher	2.6	2.3	21.0	13.3	9.6	. 4 . 8	11.8	\
Writer	1,5	2.8	۳. ش	1,6	1.5	С		, ,
Other Professional	8.3	6°T	2.8	7.0	<b>7.</b> 6	5.6	5.7	10.6
Semi-Professional								
Social Worker	4.0	4.2		2.1	2.7	4.0	3,4	2 2
Nurse	0.2	۳. ۳.	17.0	26.3	0.7	1.0	13.7	1.9
Manager, owner	4.7	E. 1	<b>م</b>	0.7	5.8	9.6	en en	0.0
Medical technician	0.0	0.0	F-1	0.0	1.0		) (၈ (၈	2.5
Other technician	2.2	 	0.5	0.7	2.9	12.4	6 <b>.</b> T	1.3
Artist, musician	3.5	4.2	3 <u>.</u> 4	7.3	7.7	0.9	<b>4.</b> 0	9
Stewardess, model	0.2	<b>5.</b> 0	7.1	7.5	0.0	0.0	3.7	5.2
Athlete, coach	14.1	16.3	٠ <b>٠</b>	£. 7	.4 ∞	و. ھ	<b>∞</b> •	0.0
Skilled								
Clerical worker	1.0	0.0	10.2	12.8	0.3	0.0	18.7	14.2
Sales worker	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.0	1.0	6.0	0.7	2.8
Craftsman, mechanic	13,3	13.7	0.0	0.0	16.6	25.7	0.2	0.0
Farmer	3.5	0.0	0.5	0.0	2.5	0.0	9.0	0.0
Protective service	5.3	6.5	1.2	2,3	5.7	0.0	1.0	9-0
Barber, hairdresser	0.2	0.0	9-1	0.0	0.2	0	2.1	) u

Table 5 continued...

5

		Age	Age 13			Age 17	Age 17 (in school)	
	White Male	Black Male	White Female	Black Female	White Male	Black Male	White Female	Black Female
Semi-Skilled								
Operatives, medical attendant	6.9	<b>7.</b>	°.2	÷	6.4	<b>o</b> .	2.	3.6
<u>Unskilled</u>								
Laborers, other service	2.7	2.4	<b>0</b>	<b>X</b> •0	<b>.</b>	6.0	<b>&amp;</b> .	2.5
<u>Military</u>	<b>2.</b> 6	<b>"</b>	<b></b>	0.1	2.1	. <mark></mark>	64 -	<b>0</b>
Housewife	0.0	0.0	\$. 4.	2.1	o. 0	0.0	o n	o. o
No acceptable response	2.9	6.5	2.3	0.4	2.3	2.3	<b>~</b> . ∞	5.
Tota1	66.	100.1	<b>7.</b> 66	7.66	99.5	100.0	<b>ب</b> ق	
Unweighted (N)	(710)	(141)	(834)	(164)	(804)	(114)	(828)	(127)
· 1000年,1000年,1000年,1000年,1000年,1000年,1000年,1000年,1000年,1000年,1000年,1000年,1000年,1000年,1000年,1000年,1000年,1000年,								

The percentages in this table differ slightly from those in Table 4 because Table 4 excludes students not giving an acceptable response but this table includes them. Note:

Table 6

Percentage of 13-Year-Olds and 17-Year-Olds <sup>a</sup> Mentioning Different Things

About Their First Job Choice That They Like or Dislike <sup>b</sup>, By Sex and Race

Factors About		White	Male	Black	Male	White	Female	Black	Female
Job Choice	Age	Like D	islike	Like I	islike	Like I	islike	Like	Dislike
			A11 S	tudents					
Responsibility, challenge	13 17	17 17	8 6	14 16	8 5	15 17	<b>7</b> 8	16 23	7 4
Duties	13 17	58 54	39 39	46 52	34 28	51 51	39 35	52 53	28 23
Conditions of work	13 17	31 48	<b>3</b> 9 49	23 44	27 32	13 28	31 38	8 23	16 35
Interpersonal relations	13 17	18 24	11 13	13 18	12 10	33 45	23 27	23 38	19 21
Helping people	13 17	13 16	5 5	23 19	6 3	40 28	14 8	36 37	12 7
Unweighted (N)	13 17		47) 56)		139) 132)		358) 392)		146) 127)
Stud	ents Who	se Parent	s Had	12 or F	ewer Y	ears of	Educa	tion	
Responsibility, challenge	13 17	15 18	8 4	.15 .14	7 1	11 16	6 8	14 20	
						20 To 100 To			
Duties	13 17	60 52	40 44	44 57	33 28	53 52	36 34	54 55	3 1.
Duties  Conditions of work	1 2						1. T T 4.	4.4	
Conditions of	17 13	52 31	44 38	57 24	28 26	52 11	34 28	55 7	22 15 34 14
Conditions of work Interpersonal	17 13 17 13	52 31 50 16	44 38 45 9	57 24 47 8	28 26 34 10	52 11 29 34	34 28 36 23	55 7 21 19	22 15 34 14 19

and only 17-year-olds still in school are included.

BRespondents were asked to name three factors they like and three factors they dislike.

Table 7

Possess or Do Not Possess Which They Think They Should Consider When Selecting a Job, Percentage of Adults Mentioning Selected Job-Related Abilities That They By Sex and Race

		Po	Possess			Do Not	ot Possess	
Abilities to Consider	White Males	Black Males	White Females	Black Females	White Males	Black Males	White Females	Black Females
Specific Abilities								
Professional, technical	16,	10	10		<b>∞</b>	10	entrare discount	4
Art, Music	2	0	2	2	-	<b>o</b> ,	0	~,
Health service	-		2	12			Q	
Management	6	9	2	2	က	0	<b>n</b>	
Clerical, office	4	<b>7</b>	<b>6</b> 7	27	m	7	8	13
Business, sales	10	<b>6</b>	9		. <b>.</b>	<b>.</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>'</b>
Manual, mechanical	22	18	2	7	7	6	and the second s	
Operative	15	12	'n	7	m	-		'n
Service	2		. 04 . 6	12	<b>6</b>			
General Abilities								
Interpersona1	10	ന	13	2	7	0	4	<b>'</b>
Intellectual	10	9	7	<b>9</b>	Ŋ	0	2	4
Personal qualities	12	П	Ħ	15	12	<b>.</b>	10	0
Education/experience	22	26	18	17	32	22	27	82
Unweighted (N)	(890)	(82)	(996)	(129)	(098)	220 2 <b>8</b> 2	(996)	(129)
		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *					Salar Sa	

				ercentage			
		*	White Men	Black Men	White Women	Black Women	
rofessional, t	echnic	āl	21.3	4.9	10.8	14.1	
rt, music			1.1	0.0	1.2	2.4	
ealth service	<u>*</u>		<b>2.3</b>	n 0.6	2.8	3.6	
anagement			25.7	10.1	6.2	11.1	
lerical			4.3	8.1	15.2	16.5	
<b>å</b> les			5.3	5.8	1.9	0.0	
raftsmen, mech	anics		19.0	17.9	1.4	0.0	
pera <b>tive</b>			10.6	23.5	4.8	14.2	
ervice			4.5	13.5	8.0	18.1	
aborer			3.1	9.2	0.7	0.0	
illitary			0.4	2.9	0.0	0.0	
lousew <b>i</b> fe	ich was		0.5	0.0	46.3	18.8	
nacceptable			0.7	3.5	0.2	0.9	
Inweighted (N)			(845)	(79)	(843)	(111)	And the second s
						e de la composition della comp	

î