# EDTC Program Assessment Framework Second Edition

The University of Delaware's Master of Education in Educational Technology (EDTC) program aligns with both of the international standards bodies that inform the design of educational technology degree programs. These two standards bodies are the Association for Educational Communications and Technology (AECT) and the International Society for Technology in Education (ISTE). EDTC degree candidates may choose to follow either the AECT or the ISTE standards. This choice determines whether AECT or ISTE rubrics assess candidate progress toward fulfilling the degree performances that the EDTC program assessment framework comprises.

#### **Degree Performances**

The EDTC program assessment framework comprises the following eight performances:

- Multimedia eLearning Environment. This is a multimedia web in which candidates create a
  blended learning environment using multiple methods of assessment including collaborative
  learning. ISTE-C candidates must create instruction for staying safe online, protecting one's data,
  examining sources critically, managing your online profile, and maintaining a healthy balance of
  time spent online.
- 2. Grades in Courses. Grades indicate the extent to which candidates have acquired the pedagogical and content knowledge needed to provide effective leadership in technology integration.
- 3. Needs Assessment. This is a term paper with a literature review that candidates write during their first year in the program. It establishes the need for school or building-level improvements in the educational technology infrastructure, including teacher professional development, research-based best practices, and learner characteristics of all students.
- 4. Curriculum Project. This is field experience during which candidates keep a reflective journal documenting plans, experiences, and improvements made in a local school or workplace setting.
- 5. Action Research Project. This is a major research paper that the candidate writes toward the end of the master's program. In an action research project, the candidate conducts a local experiment in order to determine whether a nationally recognized best practice implemented in the local school or workplace can achieve results akin to those described in the research literature.
- 6. Instructional Design. The candidate designs and develops one or more lessons or modules on a topic of strategic importance to the curriculum of the local school or workplace.
- 7. School or Workplace Technology Plan. This is a strategic plan that explains how the local school or workplace will go about achieving strategic goals by using technology to provide instruction, collect data, and evaluate results in order to determine the extent to which standards have been met. The plan includes a work schedule, hardware and software configuration, a proposed

- budget, and a budget explanation. ISTE-C candidates must plan for involving teachers in the process of creating a shared vision for integrating technology with a healthy balance of time spent online and recognition for teachers who excel in modeling this vision.
- 8. National Standards Capstone ePortfolio. In the capstone ePortfolio, the candidate submits artifacts documenting achievements in each ISTE or AECT standards domain. For each standard, the candidate explains the manner in which the artifact(s) address the criteria.

#### **Standards Alignment Overview**

The chart below identifies the specific AECT and ISTE standards that each performance assesses. In this chart, the column label ISTE-C refers to the ISTE standards for Coaches.

Assessments	AECT Standards	ISTE-C Standards
#1 Multimedia eLearning Environment		4-C, 7-B, 7-C, 7-D
#2 Grades	5.1	2-B
#3 Needs Assessment	1.2, 2.3, 2.5	1-C, 3-C, 4-C, 5-A
#4 Curriculum Project	1.3, 2.1, 3.2, 4.4	4-A, 6-C, 7-A
#5 Action Research Project	5.2, 5.3, 5.4	4-B, 4-C, 5-C, 6-B
#6 Instructional Design	2.2, 2.4, 3.1, 4.1	3-B, 4-D, 5-B
#7 Technology plan	1.4, 3.4, 4.2, 4.5	1-A, 1-B, 1-D, 1-E, 3-D, 7-B
#8 Capstone ePortfolio	1.5, 4.3, 5.1	1-B, 2-B, 2-A, 2-C, 3-A, 6-A

#### **Detailed Alignment with AECT Standards**

The text of each AECT standard appears on the following grid, in which checkboxes indicate which rubric is used in assessing the candidate's performance.

AECT STANDARDS AND INDICATORS		APPLICABLE ASSESSMENTS			
Content Knowledge. Candidates demonstrate the knowledge necessary to create, use, a practical applications of educational technologies and processes.	Content Knowledge. Candidates demonstrate the knowledge necessary to create, use, assess, and manage theoretical and practical applications of educational technologies and processes.				
1.1 Creating. Candidates demonstrate the ability to create instructional materials and learning environments using a variety of systems approaches.	<b>■</b> #1 □#5	□#2 □#6	□#3 □#7	□#4 □#8	
1.2 Using. Candidates demonstrate the ability to select and use technological resources and processes to support student learning and to enhance their pedagogy.	□#1 □#5	□#2 □#6	■#3 □#7	□#4 □#8	
1.3 Assessing/Evaluating. Candidates demonstrate the ability to assess and evaluate the effective integration of appropriate technologies and instructional materials.	□#1 □#5	□#2 □#6	□#3 □#7	<b>■</b> #4 □#8	
1.4 Managing. Candidates demonstrate the ability to effectively manage people, processes, physical infrastructures, and financial resources to achieve predetermined goals.	□#1 □#5	□#2 □#6	□#3 <b>■</b> #7	□#4 □#8	
1.5 Ethics. Candidates demonstrate the contemporary professional ethics of the field as defined and developed by the Association for Educational Communications and Technology.	□#1 □#5	□#2 □#6	□#3 □#7	□#4 <b>■</b> #8	
2. Content Pedagogy. Candidates develop as reflective practitioners able to demonstrate effective technologies and processes based on contemporary content and pedagogy.	fective imp	lementat	ion of ed	ucational	
2.1 Creating. Candidates apply content pedagogy to create appropriate applications of processes and technologies to improve learning and performance outcomes.	□#1 □#5	□#2 □#6	□#3 □#7	<b>■</b> #4 □#8	
<ol> <li>Using. Candidates implement appropriate educational technologies and processes based on appropriate content pedagogy.</li> </ol>	□#1 □#5	□#2 <b>■</b> #6	□#3 □#7	□#4 □#8	
2.3 Assessing/Evaluating. Candidates demonstrate an inquiry process that assesses the adequacy of learning and evaluates the instruction and implementation of educational technologies and processes grounded in reflective practice.	□#1 □#5	□#2 □#6	<b>■</b> #3 □#7	□#4 □#8	
2.4 Managing. Candidates manage appropriate technological processes and resources to provide supportive learning communities, create flexible and diverse learning environments, and develop and demonstrate appropriate content pedagogy.	□#1 □#5	□#2 <b>■</b> #6	□#3 □#7	□#4 □#8	
2.5 Ethics. Candidates design and select media, technology, and processes that emphasize the diversity of our society as a multicultural community.	□#1 □#5	□#2 □#6	<b>■</b> #3 □#7	□#4 □#8	
<b>3. Learning Environments</b> . Candidates facilitate learning by creating, using, evaluating, and environments.	d managing	effective	e learning		
3.1 Creating. Candidates create instructional design products based on learning principles and research-based best practices.	□#1 □#5	□#2 <b>■</b> #6	□#3 □#7	□#4 □#8	
3.2 Using. Candidates make professionally sound decisions in selecting appropriate processes and resources to provide optimal conditions for learning based on principles, theories, and effective practices.	□#1 □#5	□#2 □#6	□#3 □#7	<b>■</b> #4 □#8	
3.3 Assessing/Evaluating. Candidates use multiple assessment strategies to collect data for informing decisions to improve instructional practice, learner outcomes, and the learning environment.	<b>■</b> #1 □#5	□#2 □#6	□#3 □#7	□#4 □#8	
3.4 <b>Managing</b> . Candidates establish mechanisms for maintaining the technology infrastructure to improve learning and performance.	□#1 □#5	□#2 □#6	□#3 <b>■</b> #7	□#4 □#8	

AECT STANDARDS AND INDICATORS		APPLICABLE ASSESSMENTS			
3.5 Ethics. Candidates foster a learning environment in which ethics guide practice that promotes health, safety, best practice, and respect for copyright, Fair Use, and appropriate open access to resources.	∎#1 □#5	□#2 □#6	□#3 □#7	□#4 □#8	
3.6 Diversity of Learners. Candidates foster a learning community that empowers learners with diverse backgrounds, characteristics, and abilities.	<b>■</b> #1	□#2 □#6	□#3 □#7	□#4 □#8	
4. Professional Knowledge and Skills. Candidates design, develop, implement, and evaluate environments within a supportive community of practice.	te technolo	ogy-rich l	earning		
4.1 Collaborative Practice. Candidates collaborate with their peers and subject matter experts toanalyze learners, develop and design instruction, and evaluate its impact on learners.	□#1 □#5	□#2 <b>■</b> #6	□#3 □#7	□#4 □#8	
Leadership. Candidates lead their peers in designing and implementing technology-supported learning.	□#1 □#5	□#2 □#6	□#3 <b>■</b> #7	□#4 □#8	
4.3 Reflection on Practice. Candidates analyze and interpret data and artifacts and reflect on the effectiveness of the design, development and implementation of technology-supported instruction and learning to enhance their professional growth.	□#1 □#5	□#2 □#6	□#3 □#7	□#4 <b>■</b> #8	
4.4 Assessing/Evaluating. Candidates design and implement assessment and evaluation plans that align with learning goals and instructional activities.	□#1 □#5	□#2 □#6	□#3 □#7	<b>■</b> #4 □#8	
4.5 Ethics. Candidates demonstrate ethical behavior within the applicable cultural context during all aspects of their work and with respect for the diversity of learners in each setting.	□#1 □#5	□#2 □#6	□#3 <b>■</b> #7	□#4 □#8	
<ol><li>Research. Candidates explore, evaluate, synthesize, and apply methods of inquiry to enha performance.</li></ol>	nce learni	ng and ir	nprove		
5.1 Theoretical Foundations. Candidates demonstrate foundational knowledge of the contribution of research to the past and current theory of educational communications and technology.	□#1 □#5	<b>■</b> #2 □#6	□#3 □#7	□#4 <b>■</b> #8	
5.2 Method. Candidates apply research methodologies to solve problems and enhance practice.	□#1 <b>■</b> #5	□#2 □#6	□#3 □#7	□#4 □#8	
5.3 Assessing/Evaluating. Candidates apply formal inquiry strategies in assessing and evaluating processes and resources for learning and performance.	□#1 ■#5	□#2 □#6	□#3 □#7	□#4 □#8	
5.4 Ethics. Candidates conduct research and practice using accepted professional and institutional guidelines and procedures.	□#1 <b>■</b> #5	□#2 □#6	□#3 □#7	□#4 □#8	

#### **Detailed Alignment with ISTE-C Standards**

The text of each ISTE-C standard appears on the following grid, in which checkboxes indicate which rubric is used in assessing the candidate's performance.

ISTE TECHNOLOGY COACH STANDARDS AND INDICATORS	APPLICABLE ASSESSMENTS			
1. Change Agent. Coaches inspire educators and leaders to use technology to create equita quality learning. Coaches:	able and on	going ac	cess to h	igh-
Create a shared vision and culture for using technology to learn and accelerate transformation through the coaching process.	□#1	□#2	□#3	□#4
	□#5	□#6	<b>■</b> #7	□#8
b. Facilitate equitable use of digital learning tools and content that meet the needs of each learner.	□#1	□#2	□#3	□#4
	□#5	□#6	<b>■</b> #7	<b>■</b> #8
Cultivate a supportive coaching culture that encourages educators and leaders to achieve a shared vision and individual goals.	□#1	□#2	■#3	□#4
	□#5	□#6	□#7	□#8
d. Recognize educators across the organization who use technology effectively to enable high-impact teaching and learning.	□#1	□#2	□#3	□#4
	□#5	□#6	<b>■</b> #7	□#8
<ul> <li>Connect leaders, educators, instructional support, technical support, domain experts and solution providers to maximize the potential of technology for learning.</li> </ul>	□#1 □#5	□#2 □#6	□#3 <b>■</b> #7	□#4 □#8
2. Connected Learner. Coaches model the ISTE Standards for Students and the ISTE Stan to improve their coaching practice. Coaches:	dards for E	ducators	and ider	ntify way
Pursue professional learning that deepens expertise in the ISTE Standards in order to serve as a model for educators and leaders.	□#1	□#2	□#3	□#4
	□#5	□#6	□#7	<b>■</b> #8
b. Actively participate in professional learning networks to enhance coaching practice and keep current with emerging technology and innovations in pedagogy and the learning sciences.	□#1	<b>■</b> #2	□#3	□#4
	□#5	□#6	□#7	<b>■</b> #8
c. Establish shared goals with educators, reflect on successes and continually improve coaching and teaching practice.	□#1	□#2	□#3	□#4
	□#5	□#6	□#7	<b>■</b> #8
3. Collaborator. Coaches establish productive relationships with educators in order to impro outcomes. Coaches:	ve instructi	onal prac	tice and	learning
Establish trusting and respectful coaching relationships that encourage educators to explore new instructional strategies.	□#1	□#2	□#3	□#4
	□#5	□#6	□#7	<b>■</b> #8
b. Partner with educators to identify digital learning content that is culturally relevant, developmentally appropriate and aligned to content standards.	□#1	□#2	□#3	□#4
	□#5	<b>■</b> #6	□#7	□#8
<ul> <li>c. Partner with educators to evaluate the efficacy of digital learning content and</li></ul>	□#1	□#2	■#3	□#4
tools to inform procurement decisions and adoption.	□#5	□#6	□#7	□#8
<ul> <li>d. Personalize support for educators by planning and modeling the effective use</li></ul>	□#1	□#2	□#3	□#4
of technology to improve student learning.	□#5	□#6	<b>■</b> #7	□#8
4. Learning Designer. Coaches model and support educators to design learning experience needs and interests of all students. Coaches:	s and envi	ronments	to meet	the
<ul> <li>a. Collaborate with educators to develop authentic, active learning experiences that foster student agency, deepen content mastery and allow students to demonstrate their competency.</li> </ul>	□#1	□#2	□#3	<b>■</b> #4
	□#5	□#6	□#7	□#8
b. Help educators use digital tools to create effective assessments that provide timely feedback and support personalized learning.	□#1	□#2	□#3	□#4
	<b>■</b> #5	□#6	□#7	□#8
c. Collaborate with educators to design accessible and active digital learning	<b>#</b> 1	□#2 □#6	<b>■</b> #3	□#4

ISTE TECHNOLOGY COACH STANDARDS AND INDICATORS		APPLICABLE ASSESSMENTS			
Model the use of instructional design principles with educators to create effective digital learning environments.	□#1 □#5	□#2 <b>■</b> #6	□#3 □#7	□#4 □#8	
5. Professional Learning Facilitator. Coaches plan, provide and evaluate the impact of professional teaching to use technology to advance teaching and learning. Coaches:	fessional le	earning fo	or educat	ors and	
<ul> <li>Design professional learning based on needs assessments and frameworks for working with adults to support their cultural, social-emotional and learning needs.</li> </ul>	□#1 □#5	□#2 □#6	<b>■</b> #3 □#7	□#4 □#8	
<ul> <li>Build the capacity of educators, leaders and instructional teams to put the ISTE Standards into practice by facilitating active learning and providing meaningful feedback.</li> </ul>	□#1 □#5	□#2 <b>■</b> #6	□#3 □#7	□#4 □#8	
<ul> <li>c. Evaluate impact of professional learning and continually make improvements in order to meet schoolwide vision for using technology for high-impact teaching and learning.</li> </ul>	□#1 <b>■</b> #5	□#2 □#6	□#3 □#7	□#4 □#8	
6. Data-Driven Decision-Maker. Coaches model and support the use of qualitative and quare instruction and professional learning. Coaches:	ntitative da	ta to info	rm their o	own	
Assist educators and leaders in securely collecting and analyzing student data.	□#1 □#5	□#2 □#6	□#3 □#7	□#4 <b>■</b> #8	
<ul> <li>Support educators to interpret qualitative and quantitative data to inform their decisions and support individual student learning.</li> </ul>	□#1 <b>■</b> #5	□#2 □#6	□#3 □#7	□#4 □#8	
Partner with educators to empower students to use learning data to set their own goals and measure their progress.	□#1 □#5	□#2 □#6	□#3 □#7	<b>■</b> #4 □#8	
7. Digital Citizen Advocate. Coaches model digital citizenship and support educators and st responsibilities and opportunities inherent in living in a digital world. Coaches:	udents in r	ecognizi	ng the		
Inspire and encourage educators and students to use technology for civic engagement and to address challenges to improve their communities.	□#1 □#5	□#2 □#6	□#3 □#7	<b>■</b> #4 □#8	
<ul> <li>Partner with educators, leaders, students and families to foster a culture of respectful online interactions and a healthy balance in their use of technology.</li> </ul>	<b>■</b> #1 □#5	□#2 □#6	□#3 <b>■</b> #7	□#4 □#8	
Support educators and students to critically examine the sources of online media and identify underlying assumptions.	■#1 □#5	□#2 □#6	□#3 □#7	□#4 □#8	
d. Empower educators, leaders and students to make informed decisions to protect their personal data and curate the digital profile they intend to reflect.	<b>■</b> #1 □#5	□#2 □#6	□#3 □#7	□#4 □#8	

#### **Multimedia eLearning Environment**

EDTC coursework includes multimedia and eLearning courses in which the candidate creates a multimedia eLearning environment. When evaluating this environment, EDTC faculty use the ISTE rubric for candidates who are teachers choosing to align with the ISTE standards. For all other candidates, faculty use the AECT rubric. The tables below present the multimedia eLearning environment rubrics.

## AECT Rubric for Assessment #1: Multimedia eLearning Environment

Candidate's Name:	Date:
Required Elements:	

INDICATORS	Developing	Meets	Exceeds
AECT 1.1 Candidates demonstrate	The learning	The tool choices are	Tool choices are well
the ability to create	environment may	well explained in the	explained with citations
instructional materials and learning	appear well designed but the rationale for	rationale and the materials reflect	indicating how tools were chosen to achieve
environments using a	tool choices does not	thoughtful application	improvements
variety of systems	indicate what	of these approaches.	documented in the
approaches.	systematic approaches were used.		scholarly literature.
(check rating)			
AECT 3.3			
Candidates use multiple	Assessment may be	The candidate employs	The candidate cites
assessment strategies	rigorous but multiple	multiple assessment	examples from the
to collect data for	strategies have not been employed.	strategies including discussion forums,	scholarly literature explaining how
informing decisions to improve instructional	been employed.	formative checkpoints	researched best
practice, learner		and summative exams	practices informed the
outcomes, and the		or projects.	design of the multiple
learning environment.		, ,	assessment strategies.
			_
(check rating)			
AECT 3.5			
Candidates foster a	The site is missing	An honest attempt has	The site complies with
learning environment in	basic accessibility	been made to meet	the Section 508 and
which ethics guide practice that promotes	requirements such as alternate text for	accessibility and Fair Use guidelines, but	WCAG guidelines for Web accessibility, and
health, safety, best	graphics, and many	some aspects of the	it follows applicable
practice, and respect for	artifacts do not have	user interface are not	copyright and Fair Use
copyright, Fair Use, and	copyright notices or	accessible, or some	Guidelines.
appropriate open access	creative commons	copyright notices are	
to resources.	licenses.	unclear or missing.	
(check rating)			

AECT 3.6 Candidates foster a learning community that empowers learners with diverse backgrounds, characteristics, and abilities.	Linkages between learner characteristics and instructional design are absent or inappropriately described.	Profiles the targeted student population and describes the impact learner characteristics will have on the instructional design.	Profiles the targeted student population, describes the impact learner characteristics will have on the instructional design. and includes the provision of alternate representations to meet the needs of different kinds of users, especially those with special needs.
(check rating)			

# ISTE Rubric for Assessment #1: Multimedia eLearning Environment

INDICATORS	Developing	Meets	Exceeds
ISTE 4-C Collaborate with educators to design accessible and active digital learning environments that accommodate learner variability.	The project is missing basic accessibility requirements such as alternate text for graphics, and many artifacts do not have copyright notices or creative commons licenses.	An honest attempt has been made to meet accessibility and Fair Use guidelines, but there are some aspects of the user interface that are not accessible, or some copyright notices are unclear or missing.	The project complies with and encourages users to comply with the Section 508 and WCAG guidelines for Web accessibility, and it follows applicable copyright and Fair Use Guidelines.
(check rating)			
ISTE 7-B Partner with educators, leaders, students and families to foster a culture of respectful online interactions and a healthy balance in their use of technology.	The project lacks content related to interacting respectfully online and maintaining a healthy balance of time spent online.	The project contains material intended to help users learn to interact respectfully and maintain a healthy balance of time spent online.	The project provides a mechanism users to collaborate and provide each other with advice for interacting respectfully and maintaining a healthy balance of time spent online.
(check rating)			

ISTE 7-C Support educators and students to critically examine the sources of online media and identify underlying assumptions.	There is little or no content related to identifying false information.	Guidelines are provided but their source is not identified.	The site identifies the source(s) of the guidelines it uses in teaching users how to critically examine the veracity of online info.
(check rating)			
ISTE 7-D Empower educators, leaders and students to make informed decisions to protect their personal data and curate the digital profile they intend to reflect.	The materials lack guidance about keeping personal data safe and protecting one's digital profile online.	Guidelines are provided but their source is not identified.	The site identifies the source(s) of the guidelines it uses in teaching users how to keep personal data safe and protect one's digital profile online.
(check rating)			

#### **Needs Assessment**

In keeping with the School of Education's conceptual framework, EDTC degree candidates are reflective practitioners who learn from the experience of others in developing their own reflective practice. According to this framework, each EDTC student conducts a needs assessment in which local school or workplace needs are identified in light of best practices and research findings documented in the scholarly literature. Informed by this lit review, the candidate writes a term paper that defines the needs and determines the extent to which standards have been published to inform the design of curriculum materials in the chosen content area. Most EDTC candidates conduct this needs assessment in the content area of their intended curriculum project, which is thereby informed by the research reviewed in the term paper.

When evaluating the needs assessment, EDTC faculty use the ISTE rubric for candidates who are teachers choosing to align with the ISTE standards. For all other candidates, faculty use the AECT rubric. The tables below present the Needs Assessment rubrics.

## AECT Rubric for Assessment #3: Needs Assessment (term paper with lit review)

Required Elements:  □ Pedagogical justification of tool choices □ Key questions guide the inquiry into identifying needs □ Accommodate users with different learner characterist	
Candidate's Name:	Date:

INDICATORS	Developing	Meets	Exceeds
AECT 1.2 Candidates demonstrate the ability to select and use technological resources and processes to support student learning and to enhance their pedagogy.	The needs assessment may be thorough but it does not adequately explain the pedagogical reasons for using the tools it recommends.	Needs are accompanied by recommendations for using specific tools intended to address those needs from a pedagogical perspective explained in the needs assessment.	The reasons for recommending specified tools to meet identified needs are documented with citations from the scholarly literature explaining the best practices informing the tool selection.
(check rating)			
AECT 2.3 Candidates demonstrate an inquiry process that assesses the adequacy of learning and evaluates the instruction and implementation of educational technologies and processes grounded in reflective practice.	Although important needs may be identified, the needs assessment does not identify the key questions guiding this inquiry.	The key questions guiding this inquiry make logical sense in a framework pointing to the need for the technologies recommended.	The needs assessment cites researched best practices documented in the scholarly literature investigating similar key questions leading to the pedagogical analysis informing the recommended tool selection.
(check rating)			
AECT 2.5 Candidates design and select media, technology, and processes that emphasize the diversity of our society as a multicultural community.	Linkages between learner characteristics and instructional design are absent or inappropriately described.	Profiles the targeted student population and describes the impact learner characteristics will have on the instructional design.	Profiles the targeted student population, describes the impact learner characteristics will have on the instructional design, and provides for alternate representations to meet the needs of users with different learning characteristics.
(check rating)			

## ISTE Rubric for Assessment #3: Needs Assessment (term paper with lit review)

ISTE 4-C Collaborate with educators to design accessible and active digital learning environments that accommodate learner variability.	Although the needs assessment may recommend assistive technology, the specifications are missing basic accessibility requirements such as alternate text for graphics.	An honest attempt has been made to meet accessibility and Fair Use guidelines, but the needs assessment does not reference the principles of Universal Design for Learning (UDL).	The needs assessment complies with the Section 508 and WCAG guidelines for Web accessibility, and it follows applicable copyright and Fair Use Guidelines. The plan aligns with and references the principles of Universal Design for Learning (UDL).
(check rating)			
ISTE 5-A Design professional learning based on needs assessments and frameworks for working with adults to support their cultural, social-emotional and learning needs.	References to adult learning principles are vague or missing, the alignment is unclear, or the citations are used out of context.	Identifies applicable principles of adult learning and proposes teacher professional development activities based on these principles.	Identifies and reflects on applicable principles of adult learning and proposes teacher professional development activities based on these principles. Identifies areas in which the standards are vague or open to multiple interpretations.
(check rating)			

#### **Curriculum Project**

One of the most important abilities acquired by EDTC students is the capacity to use educational technology for curriculum enhancements and improvements. Each student must demonstrate this capacity by creating a curriculum project that is designed to improve instruction or solve an educational problem in an authentic school or workplace setting. In a reflective journal, the student documents problems analyzed, approaches tried, and results achieved. By studying this journal, the EDTC faculty (as well as potential employers) can evaluate the extent to which the student has become a reflective practitioner who is able to discover best practices and adapt them to local needs.

When evaluating the curriculum project, EDTC faculty use the ISTE rubric for candidates who are teachers choosing to align with the ISTE standards. For all other candidates, faculty use the AECT rubric. The tables below present the Curriculum Project rubrics.

### AECT Rubric for Assessment #4: Curriculum Project (reflective journal)

Candidate's Name:	Date:
Required Elements: ☐ Protocol explains why tools were chosen ☐ Content pedagogy and learning theory inform the curr ☐ Assessment aligns with learning activities	iculum design

INDICATORS	Developing	Meets	Exceeds
AECT 1.3 Candidates demonstrate the ability to assess and evaluate the effective integration of appropriate technologies and instructional materials.	Although the curriculum plan may be rich in its use of tools, there is little or no explanation of why the materials were chosen.	The curriculum plan explains the reasons why the materials were chosen and provides the rationale for adopting the selected tools as compared to other possible approaches.	The curriculum plan cites researched best practices documented in the scholarly literature informing the decision to adopt the chosen strategy as compared to other technological possibilities.
(check rating)			
AECT 2.1 Candidates apply content pedagogy to create appropriate applications of processes and technologies to improve learning and performance outcomes.	Although the curriculum may be rich in technological resources, the plan contains little or no references or explanation of the content pedagogy that informed the design of the instructional	The curriculum plan explains how content pedagogy impacted the design of the learning environment and the assessment of student learning outcomes.	Citations from the scholarly literature, such as research about TPACK, inform the design of the curriculum plan and its multiple assessment strategies.

	sequencing, tool choices, and assessment of student learning.		
(check rating)			
AECT 3.2 Candidates make professionally sound decisions in selecting appropriate processes and resources to provide optimal conditions for learning based on principles, theories, and effective practices.	Although the curriculum may appear to make effective use of technology integration strategies, there is little or no explanation of the learning theory that informed these decisions.	The curriculum plan references and explains how learning principles and impactful practices informed the curriculum design.	The curriculum plan identifies gaps in the scholarly references to the learning theories that informed the project's design and suggests directions for further study.
(check rating)			
AECT 4.4 Candidates design and implement assessment and evaluation plans that align with learning goals and instructional activities.	Criteria for determining learner mastery of assigned content are vague or unspecified.	The materials implement clearly defined criteria to determine when the learner has mastered the assigned content.	Instructional sequencing considers the learner's current achievement level, adjusts the course accordingly, and uses clearly defined criteria to determine when the learner has mastered the assigned content.
(check rating)			

# ISTE Rubric for Assessment #4: Curriculum Project (reflective journal)

□ Differentiation	
	Date:

#### **Action Research Project**

A key feature of the EDTC program is the manner in which students carry out an actual project in a school or workplace setting appropriate to the student's career goals. This project normally consists of the implementation of one or more curriculum modules from the student's curriculum design project. Students report the results of the project in the form of a paper that is written in APA style using case study methodology such as the protocols defined in Yin, Robert K. *Case Study Research: Design and Methods*. Third edition. Thousand Oaks: Sage Publications, 2003. ISBN 0-7619-2553-8.

When evaluating the action research project, EDTC faculty use the ISTE rubric for candidates who are teachers choosing to align with the ISTE standards. For all other candidates, faculty use the AECT rubric. The tables below present the Action Research Project rubrics.

## AECT Rubric for Assessment #5: Action Research Project (case study)

☐ Evidence of ethical conduct of research  Candidato's Name:	Dato	
Candidate's Name:	Date:	

Required Elements:

## ISTE Rubric for Assessment #5: Action Research Project (case study)

INDICATORS	Developing	Meets	Exceeds
ISTE 4-C Collaborate with educators to design accessible and active digital learning environments that accommodate learner variability.	The Action Research Project may have good overall design but it does not provide any mechanism for differentiating instruction so that all students can learn.	The project profiles the targeted student population and considers the impact of learner characteristics.	The project profiles the targeted student population, considers the impact of learner characteristics, and provides alternate representations to meet the needs of different kinds of users, especially those with special needs.
(check rating)			
ISTE 4-B Help educators use digital tools to create effective assessments that provide timely feedback and support personalized learning.	The Action Research Project may specify standards alignment but the data collected is based on summative measures with little or no facility for coaching students on a formative basis.	The Action Research Project specifies the standards that guide the inquiry and uses both formative and summative techniques for collecting data and analyzing results.	The Action Research Project specifies the standards that guide the inquiry in both formative and summative assessment domains and compares its findings to results reported in the scholarly literature.
(check rating)			ı 🗆
ISTE 5-C Evaluate impact of professional learning and continually make improvements in order to meet schoolwide vision for using technology for high-impact teaching and learning.	The Action Research Project may be based around a sound curriculum but nothing is done to assess whether the teacher learned or improved anything.	The case study documents what the teachers learned or analyzes data indicating how teachers can help improve learning outcomes.	The case study documents what the teachers learned and compares its findings to those reported in the scholarly literature.
(check rating)			
ISTE 6-B Support educators to interpret qualitative and quantitative data to inform their decisions and support individual student learning.	Offers only qualitative or quantitative data in support of decisions and recommendations.	Considers both qualitative and quantitative evidence.	Documents the source of both qualitative and quantitative methods employed in decision making.
(check rating)			

#### **Instructional Design**

The EDTC program requires that each degree candidate must design a learning object intended for use by students whose school or workplace context requires improved results on the performance being taught. Most EDTC candidates choose to design a learning object that is part of their curriculum project. This design must be presented in the form of an annotated concept map and/or storyboard providing sufficient detail that a developer could create the learning object from the specifications provided.

When evaluating the instructional design, EDTC faculty use the ISTE rubric for candidates who are teachers choosing to align with the ISTE standards. For all other candidates, faculty use the AECT rubric. The tables below present the Instructional Design rubrics.

### AECT Rubric for Assessment #6: Instructional Design (concept map/storyboard)

Required Elements:  □ Explain how content pedagogy and learning principles informed the design  □ Accommodate learners from diverse backgrounds  □ Collaborate with SMEs in making design decisions	
Candidate's Name:	Date:

INDICATORS	Developing	Meets	Exceeds
AECT 2.2 Candidates implement appropriate educational technologies and processes based on appropriate content pedagogy.	Although technological design decisions may appear sound, there is little or no explanation of how they were informed by content pedagogy.	The concept map or storyboard contains annotations explaining how content pedagogy informed the design decisions.	Citations from the scholarly literature support claims made in explaining how the design decisions were informed by content pedagogy.
(check rating)			
AECT 2.4 Candidates manage appropriate technological processes and resources to provide supportive learning communities, create flexible and diverse learning environments, and develop and demonstrate appropriate content pedagogy.	Although the storyboard or concept map may explain how content pedagogy informed its design, there is little or no explanation of how the material can function in differentiating instruction.	The concept map or storyboard contains annotations explaining where and how the design can differentiate instruction in support of diverse learning communities.	Citations from the scholarly literature support design decisions made in creating a flexible and diverse learning environment.
(check rating)			

AECT 3.1 Candidates create instructional design products based on learning principles and research-based best practices.	The design may be based on learning principles but there are no citations to best practices documented in the scholarly literature, or the practices cited are misused.	The candidate makes Instructional Design recommendations based on learning principles and cites relevant research-based best practices.	The candidate makes Instructional Design recommendations based on reflective study of best practices cited in the scholarly literature and poses additional research questions in the form of testable hypotheses for further investigation.
(check rating)			
AECT 4.1 Candidates collaborate with their peers and subject matter experts to analyze learners, develop and design instruction, and evaluate its impact on learners.	There is little or no evidence of collaboration between the designer and peers or subject matter experts.	Annotations in the concept map identify design decisions made as a result of collaboration with peers or subject matter experts.	Annotations in the concept map indicate that the designer participated in a professional learning community in which nationally known scholars collaborated on the project.
(check rating)			

# ISTE Rubric for Assessment #6: Instructional Design (concept map/storyboard)

Required Elements:

Candidate's Name:	Date:
<ul> <li>☐ Fosters active learning with meaningful feedback</li> <li>☐ Uses research-based instructional design principles</li> <li>☐ Aligns content to applicable standards</li> <li>☐ Integrates technology into learning activities</li> </ul>	

INDICATORS	Developing	Meets	Exceeds
INDIGATORS	Developing	Wicets	LACCEUS
ISTE 5-B Build the capacity of educators, leaders and instructional teams to put the ISTE Standards into practice by facilitating active learning and providing meaningful feedback.	The design may address content standards but student technology standards are lacking.	The concept map/storyboard explains how the design aligns both with content standards as well as student technology standards.	The concept map/storyboard uses a nationally researched teacher preparation framework such as TPACK to explain how the design supports both content and technology standards.
(check rating)			
ISTE 4-D Model the use of instructional design principles with educators to create effective digital learning environments.	The instructional design makes little or no references to the scholarly literature about research-based best practices in instructional design.	The instructional design uses and references research-based best practices documented in the scholarly literature about instructional design.	The instructional design cites research-based best practices that informed its design and identifies gaps or suggests ideas for further research to advance the field.
(check rating)			
ISTE 3-B Partner with educators to identify digital learning content that is culturally relevant, developmentally appropriate and aligned to content standards.	The design spec says little or nothing about how teachers should go about implementing this in the classroom and learning through collaborating.	The design spec does a good job of explaining how the teacher facilitates learning by managing the classroom aspects of the design including learning through collaborating.	The design spec explains how models documented in the scholarly literature informed the design of the classroom technology integration and collaborative learning strategy.
(check rating)			

#### **Technology Plan**

As part of their internship, EDTC candidates create a detailed plan for carrying out an actual technology facilitation project in a school or workplace setting appropriate to the candidate's career goals. In the technology plan, the candidate must analyze the logistical, pedagogical, and political issues related to putting the project into practice. The plan can be to implement the candidate's curriculum project or instructional design, or the plan can cover a different topic involving technology integration. Scheduling, budgetary, and staffing implications must be clearly articulated, and the candidate must present a realistic schedule for implementing the project in the local setting. The candidate submits the implementation plan in the form of a narrative that can include charts and diagrams created with project management tools.

When evaluating the technology plan, EDTC faculty use the ISTE rubric for candidates who are teachers choosing to align with the ISTE standards. For all other candidates, faculty use the AECT rubric. The tables below present the Technology Planning rubrics.

# AECT Rubric for Assessment #7: School or Workplace Technology Plan

Candidate's Name:	Date:	
<ul> <li>□ Plan of work specifies project management tools</li> <li>□ Identifies obstacles and strategizes how to overcome them</li> <li>□ Consults with stakeholders</li> <li>□ Treats all students ethically including learners with special needs</li> </ul>		

INDICATORS	Developing	Meets	Exceeds
AECT 1.4 Candidates demonstrate the ability to effectively manage people, processes, physical infrastructures, and financial resources to achieve predetermined goals.	Although the goals of the plan may seem important, the quality of the timeline, budget explanation, and plan of work do not inspire confidence that the innovation can be successfully implemented by following this plan.	The plan identifies implementation obstacles, predicts when they will occur, and prepares coping strategies based on findings documented in the scholarly literature.	The plan hypotheses new ways of overcoming obstacles identified in the scholarly literature and prepares to test these hypotheses if the obstacles are encountered.
(check rating)			
AECT 3.4 Candidates establish mechanisms (p. 190) for maintaining the technology infrastructure (p. 234) to improve learning and performance.	Project management methodologies are vague or it is unclear how proposed project management tools will work together in order to help keep the project on time and within budget.	The plan identifies a suite of project management tools and explains how the implementation team will use these tools to keep the project on schedule, control costs, monitor the results, and communicate with each other in accomplishing the project's goals.	The plan calls for managers to use follow-through tools to obtain feedback from developers and implementers in order to identify emerging problems and solve them before they cause negative impacts on the project's budget, schedule, or effectiveness.
(check rating)			
AECT 4.2 Candidates lead their peers in designing and implementing technology-supported learning.	The stakeholders have not been identified or there is no evidence they are committed to carrying out this project in an authentic school or workplace setting.	The plan identifies the stakeholders who are committed to carrying out this project in an authentic school or workplace setting.	There is evidence that the stakeholders have committed to play a key role in promoting or even requiring the use of the innovation in an authentic school or workplace setting.
(check rating)			

AECT 4.5 Candidates demonstrate ethical behavior within the applicable cultural context during all aspects of their work and with respect for the diversity of learners in each setting.	The plan is missing basic accessibility requirements, accommodations for users with special needs, or provisions for copyright notices or creative commons licenses.	An honest attempt has been made to meet accessibility, copyright, and Fair Use guidelines, but some aspects of the plan fail to take into account accommodations for users with special needs.	The plan contains evidence that the candidate proceeded ethically within the applicable cultural context during all aspects of their work on this plan and with respect for the diversity of learners in each setting.
(check rating)			

# ISTE Rubric for Assessment #7: School or Workplace Technology Plan

Required Elements:  Considers the school or district technology plan Uses technology to consult with stakeholders Considers researched best practices	
Candidate's Name:	Date:

INDICATORS	Developing	Meets	Exceeds
ISTE 3-D Personalize support for educators by planning and modeling the effective use of technology to improve student learning.	The plan may consider aspects of the local classroom or workplace but fails take into account the need to personalize support for educators.	The classroom or workplace plan personalizes support for educators while considering its potential impact and implications for the school district or enterprise as appropriate.	Using citations to planning documents studied in the scholarly literature, the classroom or workplace plan provides a national context for how it supports educators and contributes to school and enterprise level planning.
(check rating)			·
ISTE 1-A Create a shared vision and culture for using technology to learn and accelerate transformation through the coaching process.	Although the plan may specify appropriate strategies, it lacks references to the local school and district technology plans and guidelines.	The plan specifies how it aligns and supports and advocates for the shared vision in the school and district technology plans and guidelines.	The plan aligns with, supports, and makes suggestions for improvements based on citations to the scholarly literature such as the national education technology plan.
(check rating)			

		<u></u>	<u>,                                      </u>
ISTE 1-E Connect leaders, educators, instructional support, technical support, domain experts and solution providers to maximize the potential of technology for learning.	There is little evidence that the candidate collaborated with teachers and administrators in developing this plan.	The plan does a good job of explaining how consultation with teachers and administrators informed its design and recommendations.	Based on citations from the scholarly literature such as the national education technology plan, the plan suggests actions the local school or district could take to further enhance teaching and learning with technology.
(check rating)			
ISTE 7-B Partner with educators, leaders, students and families to foster a culture of respectful online interactions and a healthy balance in their use of technology.	The plan fails to address how teachers can foster a culture of respectful online interactions and help students maintain a healthy balance in their use of technology.	The plan provides specific recommendations explaining how teachers can foster a culture of respectful online interactions and help students maintain a healthy balance in their use of technology.	The plan cites best practices documented in the scholarly literature that informed its recommendations for teachers fostering a culture of respectful online interactions and helping students maintain a healthy balance in their use of technology.
(check rating)			
ISTE 1-B Facilitate equitable use of digital learning tools and content that meet the needs of each learner.	Although the plan may do a good job of specifying digital tools and resources, there is little or no specification about making them equitably available.	The plan provides actionable examples of how the organization can achieve equitable access to the digital tools and resources recommended in the plan.	The plan cites examples from the scholarly literature that informed the recommended strategies for achieving equitable access to digital tools and resources.
(check rating)			
Recognize educators across the organization who use technology effectively to enable high-impact teaching and learning.	The plan omits recognizing educators for exemplary performance in using technology effectively.	The plan explains how to identify educators who use technology effectively.	The plan explains how the organization will recognize educators who use technology effectively.
(check rating)			

#### **National Standards Capstone ePortfolio**

As the capstone project at the end of the master's program, all EDTC students will create a multimedia Web site ePortfolio full of artifacts demonstrating the manner and the extent to which the degree candidate has met the ISTE-C or AECT standards. When evaluating the capstone ePortfolio, program faculty use one of the following two rubrics. Faculty use the ISTE rubric for candidates who are teachers choosing to align with the ISTE standards. For all other candidates, faculty use the AECT rubric. The tables below present the Capstone ePortfolio rubrics.

### **AECT Rubric for Assessment #8: National Standards Capstone ePortfolio**

Required Elements:  Summative Introduction  Statement for each of the five AECT standards  Artifacts, with abstracts, supporting each of the five AECT standards			
Candidate's Name: Date:			

INDICATORS	Developing	Meets	Exceeds
Summative Introduction	The introduction may accurately summarize the five statements and connections, but it does not discuss insights gained or connect the statements as a whole.	Introduces and summarizes theories and connections to artifacts presented in the statements. This provides the reader with an overview of your accomplishments as well as a context for the statements that follow.	In addition to summarizing the connections between the artifacts and the statements, the introduction includes a reflection on how your perspective as an instructional developer has been impacted by the process of meeting the AECT standards.
(check rating)			
AECT 1.5 Candidates demonstrate the contemporary professional ethics of the field as defined and developed by the Association for Educational Communications and Technology.	The portfolio is missing basic accessibility requirements such as alternate text for graphics, and many artifacts do not have copyright notices or creative commons licenses.	An honest attempt has been made to meet accessibility and Fair Use guidelines, but there are some aspects of the user interface that are not accessible, or some copyright notices are unclear or missing.	The site complies with the Section 508 and WCAG guidelines for Web accessibility, and it follows applicable copyright and Fair Use Guidelines.
(check rating)			

AECT 4.3 Candidates analyze and interpret data and artifacts and reflect on the effectiveness of the design, development and implementation of technology-supported instruction and learning to enhance their professional growth.	Although the portfolio may recommend tools that have the potential for improving instructional practice, they are not presented in a systematic framework for collecting and analyzing student data toward the goal of continually improving the learning environment.	The portfolio explains how the candidate collects and analyzes student achievement data to inform continuous improvements in the learning environment.	Citations from the scholarly literature explain how the candidate's data collection and analysis are informed by nationally recognized best practices for systematic improvement of instructional practice and student learning.
(oncon rading)			
AECT 5.1 Candidates demonstrate foundational knowledge of the contribution of research to the past and current theory of educational communications and technology.	The portfolio lacks statements in which the candidate acknowledges the contribution of research to the development of the past and current theory of educational communications and technology.	The portfolio contains reflections in which the candidate acknowledges the contribution of research to the development of the past and current theory of educational communications and technology.	Through citations from the scholarly literature, the portfolio puts into a national best-practice context the candidate's reflections and plans for continued professional growth in modeling and facilitating technology-enhanced learning experiences.
(check rating)			П
Statements	Artifacts may	Two to three significant	In addition to citing two
documenting achievement of the five AECT Standards (check one rating per standard)	demonstrate proficiency, but their value to the candidate's practice and theorybase is not clear.  Artifacts may be of high quality showing good use of integrated technology, but their connection with the AECT standards is not explicit or the artifacts are of limited value.	artifacts are cited for each AECT standard, and artifacts are used for multiple standards.  For each artifact cited there is an abstract which provides (1) a description of the artifact and how it relates to the candidate (context/date), and (2) an analysis of how the artifact demonstrates evidence for one or	or three significant artifacts for each AECT standard, selections or portions are chosen from artifacts to illustrate salient points.  In addition to explaining how each artifact demonstrates evidence for one or more standards, the abstract includes a reflection on how the artifact has contributed to the
	Artifacts are not given a context or are evaluated only to a	more particular standards.	candidate's growth as a more informed, reflective, and/or

1. Content Knowledge. Candidates demonstrate the knowledge necessary to create, use, assess, and manage theoretical and practical applications of educational technologies and processes.					
manage ineoretical and pr	actical applications of educ	cational technologies and p	orocesses.		
2 Contant Radagagy Can	U	ve prestitioners able to der	<del>_</del>		
2. Content Pedagogy. Candidates develop as reflective practitioners able to demonstrate effective implementation of educational technologies and processes based on contemporary content and					
pedagogy.		T			
3. Learning Environments. Candidates facilitate learning by creating, using, evaluating, and managing effective learning environments.					
enective learning environin	П П				
4 Professional Knowledge	e and Skills. Candidates de	_			
	s within a supportive comm		and evaluate technology-		
_					
	explore, evaluate, synthesiz	ze, and apply methods of ir	nquiry to enhance		
learning and improve perfo					
Technical Quality	Graphic elements are	Although graphical	Graphic elements make		
of ePortfolio	missing or fail to	elements contribute to	visual connections		
Design	contribute to the site's	the understanding of	contributing to the		
3	usability. There may be	concepts, ideas and	understanding of		
	some garish color choices or backgrounds	relationships, there may be some	concepts, ideas and relationships. Font		
	that interfere with	inconsistencies in	faces, type sizes, and		
	readability of the	layout, font, and color	foreground/background		
	foreground text.	choices.	color choices are		
	loregreatia text.	Choloco.	judicious and		
	Text is not carefully	Writing is concise,	consistent.		
	edited for spelling and	clear, and well			
	grammar.	organized.	Writing works well with		
		_	site structure to		
	Writing style and/or	The navigation	synthesize and make		
	organization create	functions well, but it is	connections.		
	comprehension	not always clear how to			
	difficulties for the	move to a different	Navigation is intuitive.		
	reader.	section or bring a given	The various parts of the		
	Dandar may ha	artifact onscreen.	portfolio are clearly		
	Reader may be confused or lost due to		organized and easy to retrieve onscreen.		
			retrieve oriscreen.		
	poor site design.				
	It is hard to find the				
	artifacts that are				
	supposed to be in the				
	portfolio.				
(check rating)	. 🗆				

## ISTE Rubric for Assessment #8: National Standards Capstone ePortfolio

Required Elements:				
☐ Summative Introduction				
☐ Statement for each of the seven ISTE-C standards				
☐ Artifacts, with abstracts, supporting each of the seven ISTE-C standards				
☐ Artifacts, with abstracts, supporting each of the seven IS	STE-C standards			
☐ Artifacts, with abstracts, supporting each of the seven IS	STE-C standards			

INDICATORS	Developing	Meets	Exceeds
Summative Introduction	The introduction may accurately summarize the seven statements and connections, but it does not discuss insights gained or connect the statements as a whole.	Introduces and summarizes theories and connections to artifacts presented in the statements. Provides an overview of candidate accomplishments as well as a context for the statements that follow.	In addition to summarizing the connections between the artifacts and the statements, the introduction includes a reflection explaining how the candidate's perspective as an instructional developer has been impacted by the process of meeting the ISTE-C standards.
(check rating)			
ISTE 6-A Assist educators and leaders in securely collecting and analyzing student data.	Although the portfolio may recommend tools that have the potential for improving instructional practice, they are not presented in a systematic framework for collecting and analyzing student data toward the goal of continually improving the learning environment.	The portfolio explains how the candidate collects and analyzes student achievement data to inform continuous improvements in the learning environment.	Citations from the scholarly literature explain how the candidate's data collection and analysis are informed by nationally recognized best practices for systematic improvement of instructional practice and student learning.
(check rating)			
ISTE 1-B Facilitate equitable use of digital learning tools and content that meet the needs of each learner.	Although the portfolio may appear to have a strong collection of tools, there is no clear rationale explaining why the tools were chosen or what learner characteristics they are addressing.	The portfolio explains the context for the candidate's tool selection and recommends different tools for use by students depending upon their learner characteristics.	Citations from the scholarly literature support the candidate's tool selection aimed at providing alternative strategies depending upon the users' learner characteristics.
(check rating)			

ISTE 2-A Pursue professional learning that deepens expertise in the ISTE Standards in order to serve as a model for educators and leaders.	Although the portfolio may references ISTE Standards•S and Standards•T, there is no clear plan for how the candidate will engage in the professional learning community for advancing teacher knowledge for achieving these standards.	The portfolio contains an actionable plan for the manner in which the candidate will advance teachers' content and pedagogical knowledge for integrating current and emerging technologies in the implementation of the ISTE Standards•S and Standards•T.	The portfolio explains the nationally recognized mechanisms through which the candidate will continually advance and enhance teacher knowledge of content and pedagogical strategies for implementing the ISTE standards for students and for teachers.
(check rating)			
ISTE 2-B Actively participate in professional learning networks to enhance coaching practice and keep current with emerging technology and innovations in pedagogy and the learning sciences.	The portfolio contains little or no evidence that the candidate is engaging in continuous learning related to leadership, project management, and professional practice.	The portfolio contains evidence that the candidate is engaging in strategies for deepening professional knowledge and skills in leadership, project management, and adult learning to improve constituents' professional practice.	Citations from the scholarly literature document the national best-practice context informing the candidate's strategies for deepening professional knowledge and skills in leadership, project management, and adult learning.
(check rating)			
ISTE 2-C Establish shared goals with educators, reflect	The portfolio lacks reflections aimed at evaluating and	The portfolio contains reflections in which the candidate evaluates	Through citations from the scholarly literature, the portfolio puts into a
on successes and continually improve coaching and teaching practice.	planning for continued improvement of the candidate's dispositions and professional practice in modeling and facilitating technology-enhanced learning experiences.	and provides plans for continued professional growth in modeling and facilitating technology- enhanced learning experiences.	national best-practice context the candidate's reflections and plans for continued professional growth in modeling and facilitating technology-enhanced learning experiences.
on successes and continually improve coaching and teaching	planning for continued improvement of the candidate's dispositions and professional practice in modeling and facilitating technology-enhanced learning	continued professional growth in modeling and facilitating technology- enhanced learning	context the candidate's reflections and plans for continued professional growth in modeling and facilitating technology-enhanced learning
on successes and continually improve coaching and teaching practice.	planning for continued improvement of the candidate's dispositions and professional practice in modeling and facilitating technology-enhanced learning experiences.	continued professional growth in modeling and facilitating technology- enhanced learning experiences.	context the candidate's reflections and plans for continued professional growth in modeling and facilitating technology-enhanced learning experiences.

	A white and a second	Two to these significant	In addition to citize two or			
Statements	Artifacts may demonstrate	Two to three significant artifacts are cited for	In addition to citing two or three significant artifacts			
documenting	proficiency, but their	each ISTE•C standard,	for each ISTE•C			
achievement of	value to the	and artifacts are used	standard, selections or			
the seven ISTE•C	candidate's practice	for multiple standards.	portions are chosen from			
Standards	and theory-base is not	ioi manpie standards.	artifacts to illustrate			
Otaridards	clear.	For each artifact cited	salient points.			
(abook one rating per	oldar.	there is an abstract	differ points.			
(check one rating per standard)	Artifacts may be of	which provides (1) a	In addition to explaining			
Standard)	high quality showing	description of the	how each artifact			
	good use of integrated	artifact and how it	demonstrates evidence			
	technology, but their	relates to the	for one or more			
	connection with the	candidate	standards, the abstract			
	ISTE•C standards is	(context/date), and (2)	includes a reflection on			
	not explicit or the	an analysis of how the	how the artifact has			
	artifacts are of limited	artifact demonstrates	contributed to the			
	value.	evidence for one or	candidate's growth as a			
		more particular	more informed, reflective,			
	Autiforto que not given	standards.	and/or responsive			
	Artifacts are not given a context or are		educator consistent with the SOE conceptual			
	evaluated only to a		framework.			
	limited extent by the		namework.			
	candidate.					
	More artifacts are					
	needed to support					
	proficiency in one or					
	more ISTE•C					
1 0 1 1	standards.					
ongoing access to high-	nes inspire educators and l	leaders to use technology	to create equitable and			
origoning access to riigit-			П			
2. Connected Learner. C	coaches model the ISTE S		the ISTE Standards for			
Educators and identify w	ays to improve their coach	ning practice.				
	s establish productive relat	tionships with educators in	order to improve			
instructional practice and	l learning outcomes.	_				
		4. Learning Designer. Coaches model and support educators to design learning experiences and environments to meet the needs and interests of all students.				
LEGATORIDENIS IO MEET IN		llotudonto				
CHANGING TO MOST IN			П			
			impact of professional			
5. Professional Learning	☐ ☐ Facilitator. Coaches plan,	provide and evaluate the	impact of professional			
5. Professional Learning		provide and evaluate the	impact of professional			
5. Professional Learning learning for educators ar	Facilitator. Coaches plan, nd leaders to use technolo	□ □ provide and evaluate the gy to advance teaching ar □	impact of professional and learning.			
<ul><li>5. Professional Learning learning for educators ar</li><li>6. Data-Driven Decision-</li></ul>	☐ ☐ Facilitator. Coaches plan,	provide and evaluate the gy to advance teaching ar	impact of professional and learning.			
Professional Learning learning for educators ar     Data-Driven Decisiondata to inform their own	Facilitator. Coaches plan, and leaders to use technolo   Maker. Coaches model are instruction and professions	provide and evaluate the gy to advance teaching ar   od support the use of quali  al learning.	impact of professional and learning.  □ tative and quantitative			
<ul><li>5. Professional Learning learning for educators ar</li><li>6. Data-Driven Decisiondata to inform their own</li><li>7. Digital Citizen Advoca</li></ul>	Facilitator. Coaches plan, and leaders to use technology   Maker. Coaches model are instruction and professions    te. Coaches model digital	provide and evaluate the gy to advance teaching an    nd support the use of quality all learning.	impact of professional and learning.  tative and quantitative  ucators and students in			
<ul><li>5. Professional Learning learning for educators ar</li><li>6. Data-Driven Decisiondata to inform their own</li><li>7. Digital Citizen Advoca</li></ul>	Facilitator. Coaches plan, and leaders to use technolo   Maker. Coaches model are instruction and professions	provide and evaluate the gy to advance teaching an    nd support the use of quality all learning.	impact of professional and learning.  □ tative and quantitative □ ducators and students in world.			
Professional Learning learning for educators ar     Data-Driven Decisiondata to inform their own     Digital Citizen Advoca recognizing the responsi	Facilitator. Coaches plan, and leaders to use technology   Maker. Coaches model are instruction and professions    te. Coaches model digital bilities and opportunities in	provide and evaluate the gy to advance teaching ar  and support the use of qualical learning.  citizenship and support echerent in living in a digital	impact of professional and learning.  tative and quantitative  ucators and students in world.			
5. Professional Learning learning for educators ar 6. Data-Driven Decisiondata to inform their own 7. Digital Citizen Advoca recognizing the responsi	Facilitator. Coaches plan, nd leaders to use technological materials and professional professional plants and professional plants and opportunities in Graphic elements are	provide and evaluate the gy to advance teaching ar	impact of professional ad learning.  □ tative and quantitative  □ ducators and students in world. □ Graphic elements make			
Professional Learning learning for educators ar     Data-Driven Decisiondata to inform their own     Digital Citizen Advoca recognizing the responsi	Facilitator. Coaches plan, nd leaders to use technolo    Maker. Coaches model arinstruction and professiona    te. Coaches model digital bilities and opportunities in    Graphic elements are missing or fail to	provide and evaluate the gy to advance teaching ar	impact of professional ad learning.  Itative and quantitative  Iucators and students in world.  Graphic elements make visual connections			
5. Professional Learning learning for educators ar 6. Data-Driven Decisiondata to inform their own 7. Digital Citizen Advoca recognizing the responsi	Facilitator. Coaches plan, nd leaders to use technolo    Maker. Coaches model arinstruction and professiona    te. Coaches model digital bilities and opportunities in    Graphic elements are missing or fail to contribute to the site's	provide and evaluate the gy to advance teaching ar    nd support the use of qualical learning.  citizenship and support echerent in living in a digital    Although graphical elements contribute to the understanding of	impact of professional ad learning.  Itative and quantitative  Iucators and students in world.  Graphic elements make visual connections contributing to the			
5. Professional Learning learning for educators ar 6. Data-Driven Decisiondata to inform their own 7. Digital Citizen Advoca recognizing the responsi	Facilitator. Coaches plan, and leaders to use technolo    Maker. Coaches model arinstruction and professiona    te. Coaches model digital bilities and opportunities in    Graphic elements are missing or fail to contribute to the site's usability. There may	provide and evaluate the gy to advance teaching ar    nd support the use of qualical learning.  citizenship and support echerent in living in a digital    Although graphical elements contribute to the understanding of concepts, ideas and	impact of professional ad learning.  tative and quantitative  ducators and students in world.  Graphic elements make visual connections contributing to the understanding of			
5. Professional Learning learning for educators ar 6. Data-Driven Decisiondata to inform their own 7. Digital Citizen Advoca recognizing the responsi	Facilitator. Coaches plan, and leaders to use technolo    Maker. Coaches model arinstruction and professiona    te. Coaches model digital bilities and opportunities in    Graphic elements are   missing or fail to   contribute to the site's   usability. There may   be garish color choices	provide and evaluate the gy to advance teaching ar   nd support the use of qualical learning.  citizenship and support echerent in living in a digital elements contribute to the understanding of concepts, ideas and relationships, there	impact of professional ad learning.  Itative and quantitative  Iucators and students in world.  Graphic elements make visual connections contributing to the understanding of concepts, ideas and			
5. Professional Learning learning for educators ar 6. Data-Driven Decisiondata to inform their own 7. Digital Citizen Advoca recognizing the responsi	Facilitator. Coaches plan, and leaders to use technology   Maker. Coaches model are instruction and professions    te. Coaches model digital bilities and opportunities in   Graphic elements are missing or fail to contribute to the site's usability. There may be garish color choices or backgrounds that	provide and evaluate the gy to advance teaching ar  and support the use of qualical learning.  citizenship and support echerent in living in a digital elements contribute to the understanding of concepts, ideas and relationships, there may be some	impact of professional id learning.  Itative and quantitative  Illucators and students in world.  Graphic elements make visual connections contributing to the understanding of concepts, ideas and relationships. Font faces,			
5. Professional Learning learning for educators ar 6. Data-Driven Decisiondata to inform their own 7. Digital Citizen Advoca recognizing the responsi  Technical Quality of ePortfolio	Facilitator. Coaches plan, and leaders to use technolo    Maker. Coaches model arinstruction and professiona    te. Coaches model digital bilities and opportunities in    Graphic elements are   missing or fail to   contribute to the site's   usability. There may   be garish color choices	provide and evaluate the gy to advance teaching ar   nd support the use of qualical learning.  citizenship and support echerent in living in a digital elements contribute to the understanding of concepts, ideas and relationships, there	impact of professional ad learning.  Itative and quantitative  Iucators and students in world.  Graphic elements make visual connections contributing to the understanding of concepts, ideas and			

		layout, font, and color	color choices are
	There are spelling or	choices.	judicious and consistent.
	grammar errors.		
		Writing is concise,	Writing works well with
	Writing style and/or	clear, and well	site structure to
	organization create	organized.	synthesize and make
	comprehension		connections.
	difficulties.	The navigation	
		functions well, but it is	Navigation is intuitive.
	User may get lost due	not always clear how	The various parts of the
	to poor site design.	to move to a different	portfolio are clearly
		section or bring a given	organized and easy to
	It is hard to find the	artifact onscreen.	retrieve onscreen.
	portfolio's artifacts.		
(check rating)			