



# **PROJECT IMPACT: PROGRESS AND PROGRAM ISSUES**

## **SELECTED FINDINGS FROM THE DISASTER RESEARCH CENTER'S PROJECT IMPACT EVALUATION**

**KATHLEEN TIERNEY**

**November 29, 2000**

# TOPICS FOR PRESENTATION

- **DESCRIPTION OF DRC'S RESEARCH ACTIVITIES**
- **MAIN RESEARCH QUESTIONS**
- **WHAT DRC HAS LEARNED**
  - **PI PROGRESS**
  - **FACTORS AFFECTING THE IMPLEMENTATION PROCESS**
- **GENERAL CONCLUSIONS**

# **DRC'S PROJECT IMPACT RESEARCH**

- **BEGAN IN FALL 1997**
- **INITIAL FOCUS: 7 PI PILOT SITES**
  - BASELINE STUDY (1998)
  - ANNUAL FOLLOW-UPS (1999, 2000)
- **BROADER IMPLEMENTATION PHASE:**
  - FOCUS GROUP DISCUSSIONS (1998, 1999, 2000)
  - SITE VISITS IN TEN PI COMMUNITIES NATIONWIDE (2000)
- **TYPES OF DATA USED**
  - INDIVIDUAL INTERVIEWS (FACE-TO-FACE AND TELEPHONE)
  - FOCUS GROUP INTERVIEWS
  - EXTENSIVE DOCUMENTARY MATERIALS

# **RESEARCH TOPICS: COMMUNITY STUDIES**

- **Status of program activities in the areas of mitigation, partnerships, public education, and program management**
- **Partnership arrangements and strategies**
- **The ways in which recent disaster experiences and local political and economic changes affect PI implementation**
- **The nature and extent of leveraging activities**
- **Strategies communities use to build and maintain momentum**
- **Lessons communities have learned that have applicability for wider program implementation efforts**

## **FOCUS GROUPS**

- **Getting started and sustaining momentum**
- **Expanding PI more broadly**
- **How partnerships develop and evolve**
- **Transition from public education and preparedness to mitigation**
- **What communities need from FEMA to make their efforts more effective**

# **DRC REPORTS ON PI**

- **Executive Summary: Disaster Resistant Communities Initiative: Evaluation of the Pilot Phase, Year 1 (1998)**
- **Executive Summary: Disaster Resistant Communities Initiative: Evaluation of the Pilot Phase, Year 2 (2000)**
- **Disaster Resistant Communities Initiative: Evaluation of the Pilot Phase, Year 2 (2000) (Full Report)**
- **Disaster Resistant Communities Initiative: Focus Group Analysis (2000)**
- **Available on the Web at <http://www.udel.edu/DRC>**

# GENERAL OBSERVATIONS

- **PROJECT IMPACT *IS* HAVING AN IMPACT**
  - **Growth in federal, state, and especially local partnerships**
  - **Expansion of hazard assessment and mitigation activities**
- **CHANGES ON SCALE DRC HAS DOCUMENTED WOULD NOT BE OCCURRING WITHOUT PI**

# **IMPLEMENTATION ISSUES IDENTIFIED IN DRC'S EVALUATION STUDIES**

- **Getting Established And Overcoming The “Liability Of Newness”**
- **Vulnerability Of Pi Activities To Local Political And Economic Climate**
- **Influence Of Local Political Setting: Conflicts, Disputes Over Control, Pre-existing Divisions**



# IMPLEMENTATION ISSUES

- **PIVOTAL ROLE OF PI COORDINATOR**
  - FULL-TIME EFFORT
  - CRUCIAL FOR SUSTAINING MOMENTUM
  - CLOSE TO CENTERS OF DECISION MAKING
  - OUTWARDLY FOCUSED ON BUILDING BROAD COMMUNITY CAPACITY
- **SUSTAINING ACTIVITIES WHEN DISASTERS DON'T OCCUR**

# **IMPLEMENTATION ISSUES**

- **Federal Goals And Objectives Versus Local Community Control**
- **Intergovernmental Communication And Coordination**
- **Rapid Expenditures Of Funds Versus More Careful Long-term Planning**
- **Local Need For Information On Nationwide PI Activities**
- **Concern About PI Beyond The Current Administration**

# **PROJECT IMPACT AS A SOCIAL CHANGE AGENT**

## **OVERALL GOALS:**

- **REDUCING LONG TERM LOSSES**
  - **DEATHS**
  - **INJURIES**
  - **SOCIAL DISRUPTION**
  - **ECONOMIC LOSSES**
  
- **BRINGING ABOUT MAJOR SOCIAL AND CULTURAL CHANGES**
  - **CHANGES IN VALUES, ATTITUDES**
  - **FOCUS ON COMMUNITY WIDE PREVENTION AND SUSTAINABILITY**