2.1. Elemental Analysis of Roots and Fungi		
Handbook in Rhizospl	of Methods used here Research Editors: Beat Frey and Katarzyna Turnau	
ID	21 Tappero	
Author	Tappero, R.; McNear, D.H.; Gräfe, M.; Marcus, M.A.; Sparks, D.L. Plant and Soil Sciences Dept., University of Delaware, Newark, DE 19717, USA; dlsparks@udel.edu; ++1 302 831 8153	
Parameter	<i>(In situ)</i> Elemental distributions, associations, and molecular speciation in plant material	
Plant	Techniques applicable to plant tissue with element concentration	
species	exceeding ~100 μ g g ⁻¹ D.W.	
System	Material from field and laboratory systems	
Method	Synchrotron X-ray fluorescence (μ-SXRF) imaging and X-ray	
	absorption fine structure (XAFS) spectroscopy	
description	Plant tissue (fresh, hydrated specimen) is mounted onto an x,y (cryo)stage (rapidly cooled to -30 °C) positioned at 45° to a microfocused X-ray beam. The beam energy is fixed such that fluorescence signals from all elements of interest are simultaneously detected by a multi-element solid-state detector positioned at 90° to the incident beam. The specimen is rastered in the beam path to generate a coarse map (typically 1 - 3 mm ² map with 20 micrometer pixel resolution). Smaller step sizes (e.g., 5 micrometer) and longer dwell times can be used to optimize image resolution (fine map). The multi-element SXRF images are useful for observing (<i>in situ</i>) elemental distributions and associations within the spatial context of the sample (Fig. 1).	
	XAFS spectroscopy: Plant specimens mounted for SXRF imaging can be utilized for μ-XAFS data collection. Points-of-interest (POIs) identified on the coarse and fine maps are selected for XAFS analysis, and spectra are collected from 200 eV below to 500 - 1000 eV above the designated edge energy (i.e., element specific). Data from the near-edge region (XANES) of the XAFS spectra can be used to investigate the oxidation state of redox-sensitive elements. Data reduction (i.e., background subtraction, normalization, chi extraction) of sample XAFS spectra (bulk and microfocused) and reference spectra is typically followed by principal components analysis (PCA) and linear least squares fitting (LLSF) to determine the primary components and their contribution to the set of sample spectra (Manceau et al., 2002). Molecular-scale information gleaned with XAFS is complemented by the multi-element SXRF images, and together they provide a detailed picture of <i>in situ</i> elemental distributions, associations, and molecular speciation in natural, heterogeneous systems (Fig. 1a-c).	
Do's, don'ts, potential limitations, untested possibilities	 A cryostage (e.g., thermoelectric Peltier cooler) is crucial for XAFS analysis of fresh, hydrated plant tissue (rapidly cooled to – 30 °C to avoid beam-induced damage), but it is not necessary for μ-SXRF imaging. To analyze a specimen without a cryostage, mount the sample (leaf, stem, root, rhizosheath) on Kapton tape. XAFS data can be collected on frozen or dehydrated plant tissue. Other researchers have ground freeze-dried plant tissue under liquid nitrogen and mounted the powder between Kapton tape or mylar for bulk XAFS analysis (Salt et. al., 1995). These methods do not resolve the elements having a lower atomic number than sulfur (e.g., oxygen, nitrogen, carbon, or organic fractions). 	

	 Detection limits are beamline specific and dependent on the composition of the major constituents in the sample (range ~ 20 - 200 µg g⁻¹). Predictions for future developments to this technology include improved spatial resolution (cellular level), detection of lighter (lower Z) elements, and lower detection limits. Run time for most beamlines is limited and access is proposal driven and competitive.
References	Manceau A.; Marcus, M.A.; Tamura, N. 2002. Quantitative speciation of heavy metals in soils and sediments by synchrotron X-ray techniques. In: Fenter, P.; Sturchio, N.C. (Eds.). Applications of Synchrotron Radiation in Low-Temperature Geochemistry and Environmental Science. Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry, Mineralogical Society of America, Washington, DC, Vol. 49: 341-428.
	Salt, D.E.; Prince, R.C.; Pickering, I.J.; Raskin, I. 1995. Mechanisms of cadmium mobility and accumulation in Indian Mustard. Plant Physiol. 109: 1427-1433.
	Salt, D.E.; Prince, R.C.; Baker, A.J.M.; Raskin, I.; Pickering, I. 1999. Zinc ligands in the metal hyperaccumulator <i>Thlaspi caerulescens</i> as determined using X-ray absorption spectroscopy. Environ. Sci. and Technol. 33: 713-717.
	Scheckel, K.; Lombi, E.; Rock, S.; McLaughlin, M. 2004. <i>In Vivo</i> synchrotron study of Thallium speciation and compartmentation in <i>Iberis intermedia</i> . Environ. Sci. Technol. 38: 5095-5100.
Links	http://xraysweb.lbl.gov/uxas/Index.htm
Additional information	Complementary method for soil analysis by SXRF and XAFS (see 22_Tappero) provides more detail on results of data analysis



Fig. 1. μ -SXRF images (Co, Ni) of a fresh, hydrated leaf from hyperaccumulator *Alyssum murale* (a), Co-XAFS k³-weighted chi (inset) and corresponding Fourier transforms (b) for the leaf tip and mid-leaf region, and line spectra (Co, Ni, Ca) for the region indicated (arrow) on the tricolor SXRF image (c).