## WebQuest Evaluation Form

Name of WebQuest:	URL:

Criteria and Source	Possible Score	Your Score	Explanation	Your Rationale
Overall	1			
Importance of Content and Connection to Standards (Moersch/Dodge)	0-8		Is the time it takes to do this, worthy of the learning that will take place?	
Feasibility (Moersch)	0-8		Do you have the resources and classroom control necessary to pull this off?	
Task	l	I		
Authenticity 0-6 (Moersch)			Is the work students are asked to do, work that adults would do in this context?	
Cognitive Level labels (Bloom)			Look for the action words associated with each level.	
LoTi level (Moersch LoTi level)	0-6		Look at the degree of higher order thinking, the student-centeredness and the connection to experts outside of the classroom.	
Cognitive Level of Task (Dodge)	0-6		Does the task require thinking at the higher Bloom's levels? Does the task require synthesis of multiple sources of information and using that information to create a new product?	
Evaluation	1	l .		
Validity 0-6 (March)			Does the rubric truly evaluate the goals you have for students in all of the content areas?	
Reliability (Moersch)	0-6		Can you use the rubric to judge all students' work equally?	
Clarity of Assessment (Moersch)	0-6		Is the rubric written in a way that enables students to guide, judge and discuss their own progress?	
Process				
Use of Web and Internet (March)	0-4		Does this WebQuest use the Web to harness its unique offerings such as interactivity, currency, access to multiple perspectives, multimedia, and communication?	

Roles/Expertise	0-4	Do the roles enable the students to work	
(March)		collaboratively to accomplish the task? Does	
		each of the roles provide a context in which to	
		meet the curriculum goals of the WebQuest?	
Resources			
Relevance and	0-4	Are there sufficient resources to accomplish the	
Quantity of Resources		task? Is there provision for resources that may	
(Dodge)		be unavailable on a given day? Does the variety	
		of resources support varying reading abilities?	
Quality of Resources	0-4	Are all of the resources of high quality and truly	
(Dodge)		pertain to the task? Is the access to	
		information at each site appropriate for the	
		target students?	
Introduction			
Engaging	0-2	Does the opening set the stage for the kind of	
Opening/Writing		learning that is planned? Does it inspire higher	
(March)		order thinking about the topic?	
Conclusion			
Conclusion	0-2	Does the conclusion encourage students to	
(March)		continue thinking about the topic and to apply	
		what they've learned to new situations?	
Total Score		Your Overall Opinion (Is this worth doing, in whole or part? Can it be salvaged?)	
Possible Points: 6	66	5, 12 1 (2 1 1 2 1 2 1 3 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 4	
Your Total:			
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Rubrics for each of these items use the following documents:

Bloom	Benjamin S. Bloom, Bertram B. Mesia, and David R. Kr.	rathwohl (1964). Taxonomy of Educational	Objectives (two vols: The Affective Domain & The Cognitive
-------	---	--	--

Domain). New York. David McKay.

**Dodge** Dodge, et. al, B. (2001). A Rubric for Evaluating WebQuests. Retrieved Jan. 21, 2004, from San Diego State University:

http://webquest.sdsu.edu/webquestrubric.html

March, et. al, T. (2004). Criteria for Assessing Best WebQuests . Retrieved Jan. 21, 2004, from Ozline.com: http://bestwebquests.com/bwq/matrix.asp

Moersch Moersch, C. (2004). Criteria For Evaluating The Quality Of Performance-Based Tasks And Assessments. Portland, OR: Learning Quest, Inc..

Moersch Moersch, C. (2001). Level of Technology Implementation (LoTi). Retrieved Jan. 21, 2004, from Learning Quest, Inc.: http://www.learning-

**LoTi** quest.com/technologyassessment.html

This form was developed by Pat Sine, University of Delaware, sine@udel.edu. The complete training materials using these materials can be found at www.udel.edu/sine.